

The Operator System Generated by Cuntz Isometries

Da Zheng

Based on joint work with Vern Paulsen

University of Houston

May 15, 2015

CLASSIFICATION OF C^* -ALGEBRAS, FLOW EQUIVALENCE OF SHIFT SPACES, AND GRAPH AND LEAVITT PATH ALGEBRAS

MAY 11-15, 2015

University of Louisiana at Lafayette

Outline:

In this talk, we consider the operator system \mathcal{S}_n ($2 \leq n < \infty$) generated by the Cuntz isometries S_1, \dots, S_n , that is, S_1, \dots, S_n are isometries with $\sum_{i=1}^n S_i S_i^* = I$.

Outline:

In this talk, we consider the operator system \mathcal{S}_n ($2 \leq n < \infty$) generated by the Cuntz isometries S_1, \dots, S_n , that is, S_1, \dots, S_n are isometries with $\sum_{i=1}^n S_i S_i^* = I$.

We will:

- 1 show that \mathcal{S}_n has a universal property;
- 2 define an operator system $\mathcal{E}_n \subseteq M_n$ and prove that \mathcal{S}_n is complete order isomorphic to a quotient of \mathcal{E}_n ;
- 3 study tensor products of \mathcal{S}_n and examine various nuclearities of \mathcal{S}_n in the operator system category;
- 4 discover some properties of \mathcal{S}_n^d ;
- 5 discuss some open problems.

Some Motivation:

Some Motivation:

- 1 The operator system generated \mathfrak{G}_n by n universal unitaries can also be used to characterize WEP, Kirchberg's Conjecture, etc. Farenick, Kavruk and Paulsen have shown that

$$\begin{aligned}
 C^*(F_2) \otimes_{\min} C^*(F_2) &= C^*(F_2) \otimes_{\max} C^*(F_2) \\
 &\iff \mathfrak{G}_2 \otimes_{\min} \mathfrak{G}_2 = \mathfrak{G}_2 \otimes_c \mathfrak{G}_2. \\
 \mathcal{A} \text{ has WEP} &\iff \mathfrak{G}_2 \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} = \mathfrak{G}_2 \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Some Motivation:

- 1 The operator system generated \mathfrak{G}_n by n universal unitaries can also be used to characterize WEP, Kirchberg's Conjecture, etc. Farenick, Kavruk and Paulsen have shown that

$$\begin{aligned}
 C^*(F_2) \otimes_{\min} C^*(F_2) &= C^*(F_2) \otimes_{\max} C^*(F_2) \\
 &\iff \mathfrak{G}_2 \otimes_{\min} \mathfrak{G}_2 = \mathfrak{G}_2 \otimes_c \mathfrak{G}_2. \\
 \mathcal{A} \text{ has WEP} &\iff \mathfrak{G}_2 \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} = \mathfrak{G}_2 \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A}.
 \end{aligned}$$

- 2 The operator system generated by n universal unitaries is completely order isomorphic to the quotient of an operator subsystem of the matrix algebra. Hence, the WEP can be characterized by a lifting property.

Some Motivation:

- 1 The operator system generated \mathfrak{G}_n by n universal unitaries can also be used to characterize WEP, Kirchberg's Conjecture, etc. Farenick, Kavruk and Paulsen have shown that

$$\begin{aligned}
 C^*(F_2) \otimes_{\min} C^*(F_2) &= C^*(F_2) \otimes_{\max} C^*(F_2) \\
 &\iff \mathfrak{G}_2 \otimes_{\min} \mathfrak{G}_2 = \mathfrak{G}_2 \otimes_c \mathfrak{G}_2. \\
 \mathcal{A} \text{ has WEP} &\iff \mathfrak{G}_2 \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} = \mathfrak{G}_2 \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A}.
 \end{aligned}$$

- 2 The operator system generated by n universal unitaries is completely order isomorphic to the quotient of an operator subsystem of the matrix algebra. Hence, the WEP can be characterized by a lifting property.
- 3 Also, it is observed that if \mathcal{S}_n and \mathcal{T}_n are two operator systems generated by n isometries with Cuntz relation, then \mathcal{S}_n being completely order isomorphic to \mathcal{T}_n implies $C^*(\mathcal{S}_n) = C^*(\mathcal{T}_n)$.

Quotient of Operator Systems

Definition (Concrete Operator System)

A **concrete operator system** \mathcal{S} is a unital $*$ -closed subspace of a unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} , that is, $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ is a subspace of \mathcal{A} such that $1 \in \mathcal{S}$ and $a \in \mathcal{S} \Rightarrow a^* \in \mathcal{S}$.

Quotient of Operator Systems

Definition (Concrete Operator System)

A **concrete operator system** \mathcal{S} is a unital $*$ -closed subspace of a unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} , that is, $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ is a subspace of \mathcal{A} such that $1 \in \mathcal{S}$ and $a \in \mathcal{S} \Rightarrow a^* \in \mathcal{S}$.

Definition (Abstract Operator System)

An **abstract operator system** \mathcal{S} is a matrix-ordered $*$ -vector space with an Archimedean matrix order unit.

Quotient of Operator Systems

Definition (Concrete Operator System)

A **concrete operator system** \mathcal{S} is a unital $*$ -closed subspace of a unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} , that is, $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ is a subspace of \mathcal{A} such that $1 \in \mathcal{S}$ and $a \in \mathcal{S} \Rightarrow a^* \in \mathcal{S}$.

Definition (Abstract Operator System)

An **abstract operator system** \mathcal{S} is a matrix-ordered $*$ -vector space with an Archimedean matrix order unit.

We write $M_n(\mathcal{S})^+$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ for the positive cones of \mathcal{S} and $(a_{ij}) \geq 0$ if $(a_{ij}) \in M_n(\mathcal{S})^+$.

Definition (Completely Positive Maps)

Let \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{T} be operator systems. A linear map $\phi : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ is called **completely positive** if

$$\phi^{(n)}((a_{ij})) := (\phi(a_{ij})) \geq 0, \quad \text{for each } (a_{ij}) \in M_n(\mathcal{S})^+ \text{ and for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Definition (Completely Positive Maps)

Let \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{T} be operator systems. A linear map $\phi : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ is called **completely positive** if

$$\phi^{(n)}((a_{ij})) := (\phi(a_{ij})) \geq 0, \quad \text{for each } (a_{ij}) \in M_n(\mathcal{S})^+ \text{ and for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Definition (Complete Order Isomorphism, Complete Order Inclusion)

Let \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{T} be operators systems. A map $\phi : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ is called a **complete order isomorphism** if ϕ is a unital linear isomorphism and both ϕ and ϕ^{-1} are completely positive, and we say that \mathcal{S} is completely order isomorphic to \mathcal{T} if such ϕ exists.

Definition (Completely Positive Maps)

Let \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{T} be operator systems. A linear map $\phi : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ is called **completely positive** if

$$\phi^{(n)}((a_{ij})) := (\phi(a_{ij})) \geq 0, \quad \text{for each } (a_{ij}) \in M_n(\mathcal{S})^+ \text{ and for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Definition (Complete Order Isomorphism, Complete Order Inclusion)

Let \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{T} be operators systems. A map $\phi : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ is called a **complete order isomorphism** if ϕ is a unital linear isomorphism and both ϕ and ϕ^{-1} are completely positive, and we say that \mathcal{S} is completely order isomorphic to \mathcal{T} if such ϕ exists. A map ϕ is called a **complete order inclusion** if it is a complete order isomorphism onto its range with $\phi(1_{\mathcal{S}})$ being an Archimedean order unit. We shall denote this by $\mathcal{S} \subseteq_{\text{c.o.i.}} \mathcal{T}$.

Theorem (Choi, Effros)

Let \mathcal{S} be an abstract operator system, then there exists a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , a concrete operator system $\mathcal{S}_1 \subseteq B(\mathcal{H})$, and a unital complete order isomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_1$. Conversely, a concrete operator system is also an abstract operator system.

Theorem (Choi, Effros)

Let \mathcal{S} be an abstract operator system, then there exists a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , a concrete operator system $\mathcal{S}_1 \subseteq B(\mathcal{H})$, and a unital complete order isomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_1$. Conversely, a concrete operator system is also an abstract operator system.

Due to this theorem, we can always identify an abstract operator system with a concrete one.

Definition (Kernel)

Given an operator system \mathcal{S} , we call $J \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ a *kernel*, if $J = \ker \phi$ for an operator system \mathcal{T} and some (unital) completely positive map $\phi : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$.

Definition (Kernel)

Given an operator system \mathcal{S} , we call $J \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ a *kernel*, if $J = \ker \phi$ for an operator system \mathcal{T} and some (unital) completely positive map $\phi : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$.

Proposition (Kavruk, Paulsen, Todorov, Tomforde)

Let \mathcal{S} be an operator system and $J \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ be kernel, if we define a family of matrix cones on \mathcal{S}/J by setting

$$C_n = \{(x_{ij} + J) \in M_n(\mathcal{S}/J) : \text{for each } \epsilon > 0, \text{ there exists } (k_{ij}) \in M_n(J) \text{ such that } \epsilon \otimes I_n + (x_{ij} + k_{ij}) \in M_n(\mathcal{S})^+\}.$$

then $(\mathcal{S}/J, \{C_n\}_{n=1}^\infty)$ is a matrix ordered $*$ -vector space with an Archimedean matrix unit $1 + J$, and the quotient map $q : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}/J$ is completely positive.

Definition (Operator System Quotient)

Let \mathcal{S} be an operator system and $J \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ be kernel. We call the operator system $(\mathcal{S}/J, \{C_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, 1 + J)$ the **quotient operator system**.

Definition (Operator System Quotient)

Let \mathcal{S} be an operator system and $J \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ be kernel. We call the operator system $(\mathcal{S}/J, \{C_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, 1 + J)$ the **quotient operator system**.

Definition (Complete Quotient Map)

Let \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T} be operator systems and $\phi : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ be a completely positive map, then ϕ is called a **complete quotient map** if $\mathcal{S}/\text{Ker } \phi$ is complete order isomorphic to \mathcal{T} .

The Operator System Generated by Cuntz Isometries

Let S_1, \dots, S_n be n ($n \geq 2$) isometries in $B(\mathcal{H})$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n S_i S_i^* = I$, where $B(\mathcal{H})$ denotes the space of all bounded linear operators on some Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , I denotes the identity on \mathcal{H} and we set

$$\mathcal{S}_n = \text{span}\{I, S_1, \dots, S_n, S_1^*, \dots, S_n^*\},$$

so that \mathcal{S}_n is the operator system generated by the Cuntz isometries.

The Operator System Generated by Cuntz Isometries

Let S_1, \dots, S_n be n ($n \geq 2$) isometries in $B(\mathcal{H})$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n S_i S_i^* = I$, where $B(\mathcal{H})$ denotes the space of all bounded linear operators on some Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , I denotes the identity on \mathcal{H} and we set

$$\mathcal{S}_n = \text{span}\{I, S_1, \dots, S_n, S_1^*, \dots, S_n^*\},$$

so that \mathcal{S}_n is the operator system generated by the Cuntz isometries.

On the other hand, let $\hat{S}_1, \dots, \hat{S}_n$ be n ($n \geq 2$) isometries with $\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{S}_i \hat{S}_i^* < I$ and set

$$\hat{\mathcal{S}}_n = \text{span}\{I, \hat{S}_1, \dots, \hat{S}_n, \hat{S}_1^*, \dots, \hat{S}_n^*\},$$

so that $\hat{\mathcal{S}}_n$ is the operator system generated by the Toeplitz-Cuntz isometries.

Proposition

Let $\hat{S}_1, \dots, \hat{S}_n$ be n ($n \geq 2$) isometries with $\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{S}_i \hat{S}_i^* < I$, then they can be dilated to n isometries S_1, \dots, S_n with $\sum_{i=1}^n S_i S_i^* = I$.

Proposition

Let $\hat{S}_1, \dots, \hat{S}_n$ be n ($n \geq 2$) isometries with $\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{S}_i \hat{S}_i^* < I$, then they can be dilated to n isometries S_1, \dots, S_n with $\sum_{i=1}^n S_i S_i^* = I$.

Proposition

We have that $\mathcal{S}_n = \hat{\mathcal{S}}_n$ completely order isometrically.

Hence, we do not need to distinguish \mathcal{S}_n and $\hat{\mathcal{S}}_n$.

Definition

An n -tuple $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}$ is called a **row contraction** if $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i a_i^* \leq 1$, where \mathcal{A} is a unital C^* -algebra.

Definition

An n -tuple $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}$ is called a **row contraction** if $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i a_i^* \leq 1$, where \mathcal{A} is a unital C^* -algebra.

Proposition (Bunce)

Let $\{A_i : i \in \Gamma\}$ be a family of bounded operators on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Then the following two conditions are equivalent.

- 1 $\sum_{i \in \Gamma} A_i^* A_i \leq I$.
- 2 There exists a Hilbert space \mathcal{K} containing \mathcal{H} and coisometries $\{S_i : i \in \Gamma\}$ acting on \mathcal{K} such that $S_i S_j^* = 0$ for $i \neq j$, and $S_i(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \mathcal{H}$, $S_i|_{\mathcal{H}} = A_i$ for each i .

Definition

An n -tuple $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}$ is called a **row contraction** if $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i a_i^* \leq 1$, where \mathcal{A} is a unital C^* -algebra.

Proposition (Bunce)

Let $\{A_i : i \in \Gamma\}$ be a family of bounded operators on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Then the following two conditions are equivalent.

- ① $\sum_{i \in \Gamma} A_i^* A_i \leq I$.
- ② There exists a Hilbert space \mathcal{K} containing \mathcal{H} and coisometries $\{S_i : i \in \Gamma\}$ acting on \mathcal{K} such that $S_i S_j^* = 0$ for $i \neq j$, and $S_i(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \mathcal{H}$, $S_i|_{\mathcal{H}} = A_i$ for each i .

Proposition (Universal Property of \mathcal{S}_n)

For any row contraction $(A_1, \dots, A_n) \in \mathcal{A}$, there exists a unital completely positive map $\phi : \mathcal{S}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that $\phi(S_i) = A_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$.

In order to study \mathcal{S}_n , we construct an operator subsystem $\mathcal{E}_n \subseteq M_{n+1} := M_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ and prove that \mathcal{S}_n is complete order isomorphic to a quotient of \mathcal{E}_n . This will allow us to study \mathcal{S}_n via \mathcal{E}_n .

In order to study \mathcal{S}_n , we construct an operator subsystem $\mathcal{E}_n \subseteq M_{n+1} := M_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ and prove that \mathcal{S}_n is complete order isomorphic to a quotient of \mathcal{E}_n . This will allow us to study \mathcal{S}_n via \mathcal{E}_n .

Definition

We define $\mathcal{E}_n \subseteq M_{n+1}$ as the following,

$$\mathcal{E}_n = \text{span}\left\{E_{00}, E_{0i}, E_{i0}, \sum_{i=1}^n E_{ii} : 1 \leq i \leq n\right\},$$

where E_{ij} denotes the elements in the canonical basis of M_{n+1} .

In order to study \mathcal{S}_n , we construct an operator subsystem $\mathcal{E}_n \subseteq M_{n+1} := M_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ and prove that \mathcal{S}_n is complete order isomorphic to a quotient of \mathcal{E}_n . This will allow us to study \mathcal{S}_n via \mathcal{E}_n .

Definition

We define $\mathcal{E}_n \subseteq M_{n+1}$ as the following,

$$\mathcal{E}_n = \text{span}\left\{E_{00}, E_{0i}, E_{i0}, \sum_{i=1}^n E_{ii} : 1 \leq i \leq n\right\},$$

where E_{ij} denotes the elements in the canonical basis of M_{n+1} .

We have that an element in \mathcal{E}_n is of the following form,

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{00} & a_{01} & \cdots & a_{0,n} \\ a_{10} & b & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ a_{n0} & & & b \end{pmatrix}.$$

We define an operator $R : \mathcal{H}^{(n+1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ by $R := (\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}I, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}S_1^*, \dots, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}S_n^*)$.

We define an operator $R : \mathcal{H}^{(n+1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ by $R := (\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}I, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}S_1^*, \dots, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}S_n^*)$.
So we know that

$$R^*R = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}I & \frac{1}{2}S_1^* & \cdots & \frac{1}{2}S_n^* \\ \frac{1}{2}S_1 & & & \\ \vdots & & (\frac{1}{2}S_i S_j^*) & \\ \frac{1}{2}S_n & & & \end{pmatrix},$$

is positive in $M_{n+1}(B(\mathcal{H}))$.

We define an operator $R : \mathcal{H}^{(n+1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ by $R := (\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}I, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}S_1^*, \dots, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}S_n^*)$. So we know that

$$R^*R = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}I & \frac{1}{2}S_1^* & \cdots & \frac{1}{2}S_n^* \\ \frac{1}{2}S_1 & & & \\ \vdots & & (\frac{1}{2}S_i S_j^*) & \\ \frac{1}{2}S_n & & & \end{pmatrix},$$

is positive in $M_{n+1}(B(\mathcal{H}))$.

Then, we define $\psi : M_{n+1} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ by

$$(\psi(E_{ij}))_{i,j=0}^n = R^*R,$$

and extend it linearly to M_{n+1} .

We define an operator $R : \mathcal{H}^{(n+1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ by $R := (\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}I, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}S_1^*, \dots, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}S_n^*)$. So we know that

$$R^*R = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}I & \frac{1}{2}S_1^* & \cdots & \frac{1}{2}S_n^* \\ \frac{1}{2}S_1 & & & \\ \vdots & & (\frac{1}{2}S_i S_j^*) & \\ \frac{1}{2}S_n & & & \end{pmatrix},$$

is positive in $M_{n+1}(B(\mathcal{H}))$.

Then, we define $\psi : M_{n+1} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ by

$$(\psi(E_{ij}))_{i,j=0}^n = R^*R,$$

and extend it linearly to M_{n+1} .

Since $\sum_{i=1}^n S_i S_i^* = I$, we have that ψ is unital. Also, it is easy to see that $\text{Ker } \psi = \text{span}\{E_{00} - \sum_{i=1}^n E_{ii}\}$. Henceforth, we denote $J := \text{Ker } \psi$

Theorem (Choi)

Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra, $\phi : M_n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be linear, and $\{E_{ij}\}$ be the standard matrix units for M_n , then the following are equivalent:

- 1 ϕ is completely positive.
- 2 ϕ is n -positive.
- 3 $(\phi(E_{ij}))_{i,j=0}^n$ is positive in $M_n(\mathcal{A})$.

Theorem (Choi)

Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra, $\phi : M_n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be linear, and $\{E_{ij}\}$ be the standard matrix units for M_n , then the following are equivalent:

- 1 ϕ is completely positive.
- 2 ϕ is n -positive.
- 3 $(\phi(E_{ij}))_{i,j=0}^n$ is positive in $M_n(\mathcal{A})$.

Thus, ψ is a unital completely positive map. Let $\phi = \psi|_{\mathcal{E}_n} : \mathcal{E}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_n$, then we have that ϕ is also unital completely positive map with $\ker \phi = J$.

Theorem (Choi)

Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra, $\phi : M_n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be linear, and $\{E_{ij}\}$ be the standard matrix units for M_n , then the following are equivalent:

- 1 ϕ is completely positive.
- 2 ϕ is n -positive.
- 3 $(\phi(E_{ij}))_{i,j=0}^n$ is positive in $M_n(\mathcal{A})$.

Thus, ψ is a unital completely positive map. Let $\phi = \psi|_{\mathcal{E}_n} : \mathcal{E}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_n$, then we have that ϕ is also unital completely positive map with $\ker \phi = J$. Indeed, we can prove that

Theorem

We have that $\mathcal{E}_n/J = \mathcal{S}_n$.

By using the following identification

$$M_p(\mathcal{E})/M_p(J) = M_p(\mathcal{E}/J) = M_p \otimes (\mathcal{E}/J).$$

We can prove that

Theorem

The matrix of operators $A_0 \otimes I + \sum_{i=1}^n A_i \otimes S_i + \sum_{i=1}^n A_i^ \otimes S_i^* \in M_p(\mathcal{S})$ is positive if and only if there exists $B \in M_p$ such that*

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_0 & 2A_1^* & \cdots & 2A_n^* \\ 2A_1 & A_0 & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ 2A_n & & & A_0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} B & & & \\ & -B & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & -B \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$$

Remark

Remark

If U is a universal unitary and V is a universal isometry, we still have that $\text{span}\{I, U, U^*\}$ is completely order isomorphic to $\text{span}\{I, V, V^*\}$.

Remark

If U is a universal unitary and V is a universal isometry, we still have that $\text{span}\{I, U, U^*\}$ is completely order isomorphic to $\text{span}\{I, V, V^*\}$.

Let $\mathcal{S}_\infty = \text{span}\{I, S_i, S_i^* : 1 \leq i < +\infty\}$, where $\{S_i\}$ are the generators of \mathcal{O}_∞ , then for $n < m \leq \infty$, $\mathcal{S}_n \subseteq_{\text{c.o.i.}} \mathcal{S}_m$.

Let \mathcal{S} be an operator system and \mathcal{S}^d be the space of all bounded linear functionals on it. We define an order structure on \mathcal{S}^d by

$$(f_{ij}) \in M_p(\mathcal{S}_n^d)^+ \Leftrightarrow (f_{ij}) : \mathcal{S}_n \rightarrow M_p \text{ is completely positive .}$$

Let \mathcal{S} be an operator system and \mathcal{S}^d be the space of all bounded linear functionals on it. We define an order structure on \mathcal{S}^d by

$$(f_{ij}) \in M_p(\mathcal{S}_n^d)^+ \Leftrightarrow (f_{ij}) : \mathcal{S}_n \rightarrow M_p \text{ is completely positive .}$$

It is a well-known result by Choi and Effros that with the order structure defined above, the dual space of a finite dimensional operator system is again an operator system with an Archimedean order unit, and indeed, any strictly positive linear functional is an Archimedean order unit. Hence, \mathcal{S}_n^d is an operator system with Archimedean order unit δ_0 .

We choose a basis for \mathcal{S}_n^d as the following,

$$\{\delta_0, \delta_i, \delta_i^* : 1 \leq i \leq n\},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_0(I) &:= 1, \delta_0(S_i) := \delta_0(S_i^*) = 0, & \text{for all } i; \\ \delta_i(I) &= 0, \delta_i(S_j) = \delta_{ij}, \delta_i(S_k^*) = 0, & \text{for all } k; \\ \delta_i^*(I) &= 0, \delta_i^*(S_j^*) = \delta_{ij}, \delta_i^*(S_k) = 0, & \text{for all } k, \end{aligned}$$

where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta notation.

So we have that $\mathcal{S}_n^d = \text{span}\{\delta_0, \delta_i, \delta_i^* : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$.

Proposition

An element $I_p \otimes \delta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n A_i \otimes \delta_i + \sum_{i=1}^n A_i^ \otimes \delta_i^* \in M_p(\mathcal{S}_n^d)$ is positive if and only if (A_1, \dots, A_n) is a row contraction.*

Proposition

An element $I_p \otimes \delta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n A_i \otimes \delta_i + \sum_{i=1}^n A_i^* \otimes \delta_i^* \in M_p(\mathcal{S}_n^d)$ is positive if and only if (A_1, \dots, A_n) is a row contraction.

Corollary

A unital linear map $\phi : \mathcal{S}_n^d \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is completely positive if and only if ϕ is self-adjoint and

$$w(S_1 \otimes \phi(\delta_1) + \cdots + S_n \otimes \phi(\delta_n)) \leq \frac{1}{2},$$

where S_1, \dots, S_n are Cuntz isometries.

Question

Does \mathcal{S}_n inherit the nuclearity of \mathcal{O}_n ? Does the tensor properties of \mathcal{S}_n implies the nuclearity of \mathcal{O}_n ?

Question

Does \mathcal{S}_n inherit the nuclearity of \mathcal{O}_n ? Does the tensor properties of \mathcal{S}_n implies the nuclearity of \mathcal{O}_n ?

The following proposition can be proved by using Choi's multiplicative domain techniques.

Proposition

If we have that

$$\mathcal{S}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{S}_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A},$$

for a C^ -algebra \mathcal{A} , then without using the nuclearity of \mathcal{O}_n , we have that*

$$\mathcal{O}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A}.$$

So if we can show that $\mathcal{S}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{S}_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A}$, then we will be able to give an alternative proof of the nuclearity of \mathcal{O}_n .

So if we can show that $\mathcal{S}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{S}_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A}$, then we will be able to give an alternative proof of the nuclearity of \mathcal{O}_n . Using the nuclearity of \mathcal{O}_n , we can show that this even by using the fact that \mathcal{O}_n is exact.

Without using the nuclearity of \mathcal{O}_n , we can show that

$\mathcal{S}_n \otimes_{\min} B(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{S}_n \otimes_{\max} B(\mathcal{H})$ (OSLLP), for any Hilbert space \mathcal{H} .

Finally, we turn our attention to \mathcal{S}_n^d and we can see that \mathcal{S}_n^d has the LP. In addition, we use the LP of \mathcal{S}_n^d to prove a lifting result concerning the joint numerical radius.

Definition (The Min Tensor Product)

The **minimal operator system structure** on $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T}$ is defined as

$$C_n^{\min} = \{(p_{ij}) \in M_n(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T}) : ((\phi \otimes \psi)(p_{ij})) \in M_{nkm}^+, \\ \text{for all } \phi \in S_k(\mathcal{S}), \psi \in S_m(\mathcal{T}), \text{ for all } k, m \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

where $S_k(\mathcal{S})$ denotes the set of all completely positive maps from \mathcal{S} to M_k . We call the operator system $(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T}, (C_n^{\min})_{n=1}^{\infty}, 1 \otimes 1)$ the **minimal tensor product** of \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{T} and denote it by $\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{T}$.

Definition (The Min Tensor Product)

The **minimal operator system structure** on $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T}$ is defined as

$$C_n^{\min} = \{(p_{ij}) \in M_n(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T}) : ((\phi \otimes \psi)(p_{ij})) \in M_{nkm}^+, \\ \text{for all } \phi \in S_k(\mathcal{S}), \psi \in S_m(\mathcal{T}), \text{ for all } k, m \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

where $S_k(\mathcal{S})$ denotes the set of all completely positive maps from \mathcal{S} to M_k . We call the operator system $(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T}, (C_n^{\min})_{n=1}^{\infty}, 1 \otimes 1)$ the **minimal tensor product** of \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{T} and denote it by $\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{T}$.

It can be shown that the min-tensor product is injective, associative, symmetric and functorial. Moreover, it coincides with the operator system arising from the embedding $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T} \subseteq_{\text{c.o.i.}} B(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K})$.

Definition (The Max Tensor Product)

The **maximal operator system structure** on $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T}$ is defined as the Archimedeanization of the following cones:

$$D_n^{\max} = \{a(P \otimes Q)a^* : P \in M_k(\mathcal{S})^+, Q \in M_m(\mathcal{T})^+, a \in M_{n,km}, k, m \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

We denote the Archimedeanization of D_n^{\max} as C_n^{\max} , then the **maximal tensor product** of \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{T} , denoted by $\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{T}$, is the operator system $(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T}, (C_n^{\max})_{n=1}^{\infty}, 1 \otimes 1)$.

Definition (The Max Tensor Product)

The **maximal operator system structure** on $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T}$ is defined as the Archimedeanization of the following cones:

$$D_n^{\max} = \{a(P \otimes Q)a^* : P \in M_k(\mathcal{S})^+, Q \in M_m(\mathcal{T})^+, a \in M_{n,km}, k, m \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

We denote the Archimedeanization of D_n^{\max} as C_n^{\max} , then the **maximal tensor product** of \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{T} , denoted by $\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{T}$, is the operator system $(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T}, (C_n^{\max})_{n=1}^{\infty}, 1 \otimes 1)$.

The max-tensor product is symmetric, associative and functorial. We will also see later that it is projective.

Definition (The Commuting Tensor Product)

Let $\{\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}\}$ be operator systems. We set

$$\text{CP}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}) = \{(\phi, \psi) : \phi \text{ is CP from } \mathcal{S} \text{ to } B(\mathcal{H}), \\ \psi \text{ is CP from } \mathcal{T} \text{ to } B(\mathcal{H}), \text{ and } \phi(\mathcal{S}) \text{ commutes with } \phi(\mathcal{T})\}$$

Definition (The Commuting Tensor Product)

Let $\{\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}\}$ be operator systems. We set

$$\text{CP}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}) = \{(\phi, \psi) : \phi \text{ is CP from } \mathcal{S} \text{ to } B(\mathcal{H}), \\ \psi \text{ is CP from } \mathcal{T} \text{ to } B(\mathcal{H}), \text{ and } \phi(\mathcal{S}) \text{ commutes with } \psi(\mathcal{T})\}$$

We define $\phi \cdots \psi : \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ as $\phi \cdot \psi(x \otimes y) = \phi(x)\psi(y)$.

The **commuting operator system structure** on $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T}$ is defined as:

$$C_n^c = \{u \in M_n(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T}) : (\phi \cdot \psi)^{(n)}(u) \geq 0, \text{ for all } (\phi, \psi) \in \text{CP}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T})\}.$$

Definition (The Commuting Tensor Product)

Let $\{\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}\}$ be operator systems. We set

$$\text{CP}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}) = \{(\phi, \psi) : \phi \text{ is CP from } \mathcal{S} \text{ to } B(\mathcal{H}), \\ \psi \text{ is CP from } \mathcal{T} \text{ to } B(\mathcal{H}), \text{ and } \phi(\mathcal{S}) \text{ commutes with } \psi(\mathcal{T})\}$$

We define $\phi \cdots \psi : \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ as $\phi \cdot \psi(x \otimes y) = \phi(x)\psi(y)$.

The **commuting operator system structure** on $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T}$ is defined as:

$$C_n^c = \{u \in M_n(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T}) : (\phi \cdot \psi)^{(n)}(u) \geq 0, \text{ for all } (\phi, \psi) \in \text{CP}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T})\}.$$

We call the operator system $(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T}, (C_n^c)_{n=1}^\infty, 1 \otimes 1)$ the **commuting tensor product** of \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{T} and denote it by $\mathcal{S} \otimes_c \mathcal{T}$.

Definition (The Commuting Tensor Product)

Let $\{\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}\}$ be operator systems. We set

$$\text{CP}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}) = \{(\phi, \psi) : \phi \text{ is CP from } \mathcal{S} \text{ to } B(\mathcal{H}), \\ \psi \text{ is CP from } \mathcal{T} \text{ to } B(\mathcal{H}), \text{ and } \phi(\mathcal{S}) \text{ commutes with } \psi(\mathcal{T})\}$$

We define $\phi \cdots \psi : \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ as $\phi \cdot \psi(x \otimes y) = \phi(x)\psi(y)$.

The **commuting operator system structure** on $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T}$ is defined as:

$$C_n^c = \{u \in M_n(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T}) : (\phi \cdot \psi)^{(n)}(u) \geq 0, \text{ for all } (\phi, \psi) \in \text{CP}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}).\}$$

We call the operator system $(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T}, (C_n^c)_{n=1}^\infty, 1 \otimes 1)$ the **commuting tensor product** of \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{T} and denote it by $\mathcal{S} \otimes_c \mathcal{T}$.

The commuting-tensor product is symmetric and functorial. Also, if \mathcal{A} is a C^* -algebra and \mathcal{S} is an operator system, then we have

$$\mathcal{S} \otimes_c \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{S} \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A}.$$

Definition (The el and er Tensor Product)

We let $\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\text{el}} \mathcal{T}$ (resp. $\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\text{er}} \mathcal{T}$) be the operator system whose operator structure on $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T}$ is induced by the inclusion $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{S}) \otimes_{\text{max}} \mathcal{T}$ (resp. $\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\text{max}} \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{T})$). Here, $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{S})$ denotes the injective envelope of \mathcal{S} .

Definition (The el and er Tensor Product)

We let $\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\text{el}} \mathcal{T}$ (resp. $\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\text{er}} \mathcal{T}$) be the operator system whose operator structure on $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T}$ is induced by the inclusion $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{S}) \otimes_{\text{max}} \mathcal{T}$ (resp. $\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\text{max}} \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{T})$). Here, $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{S})$ denotes the injective envelope of \mathcal{S} .

We have that el, er-tensor product are both functorial but not symmetric.

- ① We call $\tau_1 \leq \tau_2$ if $M_n(\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\tau_2} \mathcal{T})^+ \subseteq M_n(\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\tau_1} \mathcal{T})^+$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition (The el and er Tensor Product)

We let $\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\text{el}} \mathcal{T}$ (resp. $\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\text{er}} \mathcal{T}$) be the operator system whose operator structure on $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T}$ is induced by the inclusion $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{S}) \otimes_{\text{max}} \mathcal{T}$ (resp. $\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\text{max}} \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{T})$). Here, $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{S})$ denotes the injective envelope of \mathcal{S} .

We have that el, er-tensor product are both functorial but not symmetric.

- 1 We call $\tau_1 \leq \tau_2$ if $M_n(\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\tau_2} \mathcal{T})^+ \subseteq M_n(\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\tau_1} \mathcal{T})^+$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- 2 Let α and β be two operator system tensor products. An operator system \mathcal{S} is called **(α, β) -nuclear** if the identity map between $\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\alpha} \mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\beta} \mathcal{T}$ is completely order isomorphic for every operator system \mathcal{T} .

The order relations between these tensor products are:

$$\min \leq \text{el}, \text{er} \leq c \leq \max.$$

Claim

The operator system \mathcal{S}_n is not (min, max)-nuclear.

Claim

The operator system \mathcal{S}_n is not (min, max)-nuclear.

We consider the operator system \mathfrak{G}_1 generate by a universal unitary u , i.e. $\mathfrak{G}_1 = \text{span}\{1, u, u^*\}$. It has been proved that

Example (Farenick, Kavruk, Paulsen, Todorov)

$$\mathfrak{G}_1 \otimes_{\min} \mathfrak{G}_1 \neq \mathfrak{G}_1 \otimes_{\max} \mathfrak{G}_1.$$

Claim

The operator system \mathcal{S}_n is not (min, max)-nuclear.

We consider the operator system \mathfrak{G}_1 generate by a universal unitary u , i.e. $\mathfrak{G}_1 = \text{span}\{1, u, u^*\}$. It has been proved that

Example (Farenick, Kavruk, Paulsen, Todorov)

$$\mathfrak{G}_1 \otimes_{\min} \mathfrak{G}_1 \neq \mathfrak{G}_1 \otimes_{\max} \mathfrak{G}_1.$$

On the other hand, let \mathcal{S}_1 be the operator system generated by a universal isometry, i.e. $\mathcal{S}_1 = \text{span}\{I, S_1, S_1^*\}$, where S_1 is an arbitrary isometry with $S_1 S_1^* < I$. We have that

$$\mathfrak{G}_1 = \mathcal{S}_1.$$

This shows that

$$\mathcal{G}_1 \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{S}_1 \neq \mathcal{G}_1 \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{S}_1.$$

This shows that

$$\mathfrak{S}_1 \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{S}_1 \neq \mathfrak{S}_1 \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{S}_1.$$

However, $\Phi(X) = S_1^* X S_1$ is a completely positive projection from \mathcal{S}_n onto \mathcal{S}_1 . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}_1 \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{S}_1 &\subseteq_{\text{c.o.i}} \mathfrak{S}_1 \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{S}_n \\ \mathfrak{S}_1 \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{S}_1 &\subseteq_{\text{c.o.i}} \mathfrak{S}_1 \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{S}_n. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that

$$\mathfrak{G}_1 \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{S}_1 \neq \mathfrak{G}_1 \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{S}_1.$$

However, $\Phi(X) = S_1^* X S_1$ is a completely positive projection from \mathcal{S}_n onto \mathcal{S}_1 . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{G}_1 \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{S}_1 &\subseteq_{\text{c.o.i}} \mathfrak{G}_1 \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{S}_n \\ \mathfrak{G}_1 \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{S}_1 &\subseteq_{\text{c.o.i}} \mathfrak{G}_1 \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{S}_n. \end{aligned}$$

So $\mathfrak{G}_1 \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{S}_n \neq \mathfrak{G}_1 \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{S}_n$.

Theorem (Farenick, Paulsen)

Let \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{T} be finite-dimensional operator systems. Then we have that

$$(\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{T})^d = \mathcal{S}^d \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{T}^d,$$

and

$$(\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{T})^d = \mathcal{S}^d \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{T}^d.$$

Theorem (Farenick, Paulsen)

Let \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{T} be finite-dimensional operator systems. Then we have that

$$(\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{T})^d = \mathcal{S}^d \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{T}^d,$$

and

$$(\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{T})^d = \mathcal{S}^d \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{T}^d.$$

Thus, it follows from the last slide that $\mathfrak{G}_1^d \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{S}_n^d \neq \mathfrak{G}_1^d \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{S}_n^d$

Definition (Left Exact, Right Exact, Exact, 1-exact)

Definition (Left Exact, Right Exact, Exact, 1-exact)

An operator system \mathcal{S} is called *left exact* if for every unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{B} and every ideal $I \subseteq \mathcal{B}$, we have that $I \hat{\otimes}_{\min} \mathcal{S}$ is the kernel of the map $q \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{S}} : \mathcal{B} \hat{\otimes}_{\min} \mathcal{S} \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}/I) \hat{\otimes}_{\min} \mathcal{S}$, where $q : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}/I$ is the quotient map.

Definition (Left Exact, Right Exact, Exact, 1-exact)

An operator system \mathcal{S} is called *left exact* if for every unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{B} and every ideal $I \subseteq \mathcal{B}$, we have that $I \hat{\otimes}_{\min} \mathcal{S}$ is the kernel of the map $q \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{S}} : \mathcal{B} \hat{\otimes}_{\min} \mathcal{S} \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}/I) \hat{\otimes}_{\min} \mathcal{S}$, where $q : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}/I$ is the quotient map. We call \mathcal{S} *right exact* if $q \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{S}}$ is surjective for every such pair I, \mathcal{B} .

Definition (Left Exact, Right Exact, Exact, 1-exact)

An operator system \mathcal{S} is called *left exact* if for every unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{B} and every ideal $I \subseteq \mathcal{B}$, we have that $I \hat{\otimes}_{\min} \mathcal{S}$ is the kernel of the map $q \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{S}} : \mathcal{B} \hat{\otimes}_{\min} \mathcal{S} \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}/I) \hat{\otimes}_{\min} \mathcal{S}$, where $q : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}/I$ is the quotient map. We call \mathcal{S} *right exact* if $q \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{S}}$ is surjective for every such pair I, \mathcal{B} . We call \mathcal{S} *exact* if it is both left and right exact.

Definition (Left Exact, Right Exact, Exact, 1-exact)

An operator system \mathcal{S} is called *left exact* if for every unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{B} and every ideal $I \subseteq \mathcal{B}$, we have that $I \hat{\otimes}_{\min} \mathcal{S}$ is the kernel of the map $q \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{S}} : \mathcal{B} \hat{\otimes}_{\min} \mathcal{S} \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}/I) \hat{\otimes}_{\min} \mathcal{S}$, where $q : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}/I$ is the quotient map. We call \mathcal{S} *right exact* if $q \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{S}}$ is surjective for every such pair I, \mathcal{B} . We call \mathcal{S} *exact* if it is both left and right exact. We call \mathcal{S} *1-exact* if it is exact and the induced map from the operator system quotient,

$$\mathcal{B} \hat{\otimes}_{\min} \mathcal{S} / (I \hat{\otimes}_{\min} \mathcal{S}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}/I) \hat{\otimes}_{\min} \mathcal{S},$$

is a complete order isomorphism.

Theorem (Kavruk, Paulsen, Todorov, Tomforde)

The following properties of an operator system are equivalent:

Theorem (Kavruk, Paulsen, Todorov, Tomforde)

The following properties of an operator system are equivalent:

- 1 \mathcal{S} is (min,el) -nuclear;

Theorem (Kavruk, Paulsen, Todorov, Tomforde)

The following properties of an operator system are equivalent:

- 1 \mathcal{S} is (min,el) -nuclear;
- 2 \mathcal{S} is C^* - (min,el) -nuclear;

Theorem (Kavruk, Paulsen, Todorov, Tomforde)

The following properties of an operator system are equivalent:

- 1 \mathcal{S} is (\min, el) -nuclear;
- 2 \mathcal{S} is C^* - (\min, el) -nuclear;
- 3 \mathcal{S} is 1-exact.

Proposition

The operator system \mathcal{S}_n is 1-exact.

Proposition

The operator system \mathcal{S}_n is 1-exact.

Proof.

For any operator system \mathcal{T} , we have that

$$\mathcal{S}_n \otimes_{\text{el}} \mathcal{T} \subseteq_{\text{c.o.i}} \mathcal{O}_n \otimes_{\text{el}} \mathcal{T}$$

Proposition

The operator system \mathcal{S}_n is 1-exact.

Proof.

For any operator system \mathcal{T} , we have that

$$\mathcal{S}_n \otimes_{\text{el}} \mathcal{T} \subseteq_{\text{c.o.i}} \mathcal{O}_n \otimes_{\text{el}} \mathcal{T}$$

On the other hand,

$$\mathcal{S}_n \otimes_{\text{min}} \mathcal{T} \subseteq_{\text{c.o.i}} \mathcal{O}_n \otimes_{\text{min}} \mathcal{T}.$$



Definition (Operator System Local Lifting Property (OSLLP))

Definition (Operator System Local Lifting Property (OSLLP))

Let \mathcal{S} be an operator system, \mathcal{A} be a unital C^* -algebra, I be an ideal of \mathcal{A} , $q : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/I$ be the quotient map and $\phi : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/I$ be a unital completely positive map.

Definition (Operator System Local Lifting Property (OSLLP))

Let \mathcal{S} be an operator system, \mathcal{A} be a unital C^* -algebra, I be an ideal of \mathcal{A} , $q : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/I$ be the quotient map and $\phi : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/I$ be a unital completely positive map. We say ϕ **lifts locally**, if for every finite dimensional operator system $\mathcal{S}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{S}$, there exists a completely positive map $\psi : \mathcal{S}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that $q \circ \psi = \phi$.

Definition (Operator System Local Lifting Property (OSLLP))

Let \mathcal{S} be an operator system, \mathcal{A} be a unital C^* -algebra, I be an ideal of \mathcal{A} , $q : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/I$ be the quotient map and $\phi : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/I$ be a unital completely positive map. We say ϕ **lifts locally**, if for every finite dimensional operator system $\mathcal{S}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{S}$, there exists a completely positive map $\psi : \mathcal{S}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that $q \circ \psi = \phi$. We say that \mathcal{S} has the **operator system locally lifting property** if for every C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} and every ideal $I \subseteq \mathcal{A}$, every unital completely positive map $\phi : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/I$ lifts locally.

Theorem (Kavruk, Paulsen, Todorov, Tomforde)

Let \mathcal{S} be an operator system, then the following are equivalent:

- 1 \mathcal{S} has the OSLLP;

Theorem (Kavruk, Paulsen, Todorov, Tomforde)

Let \mathcal{S} be an operator system, then the following are equivalent:

- 1 \mathcal{S} has the OSLLP;
- 2 $\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\min} B(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{S} \otimes_{\max} B(\mathcal{H})$.

Theorem (Kavruk, Paulsen, Todorov, Tomforde)

Let \mathcal{S} be an operator system, then the following are equivalent:

- 1 \mathcal{S} has the OSLLP;
- 2 $\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\min} B(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{S} \otimes_{\max} B(\mathcal{H})$.

So we need to show that $\mathcal{S}_n \otimes_{\min} B(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{S}_n \otimes_{\max} B(\mathcal{H})$.

We will use the isomorphism:

$$\mathcal{S}_n = \mathcal{E}_n/J.$$

We will use the isomorphism:

$$\mathcal{S}_n = \mathcal{E}_n/J.$$

Lemma

The operator system \mathcal{E}_n is C^ -nuclear, i.e. $\mathcal{E}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{E}_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A}$ for each C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} .*

We will use the isomorphism:

$$\mathcal{S}_n = \mathcal{E}_n/J.$$

Lemma

The operator system \mathcal{E}_n is C^ -nuclear, i.e. $\mathcal{E}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{E}_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A}$ for each C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} .*

Proposition (Projectivity of the Max-Tensor Product)

Let $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{R}$ be operator systems and suppose $\psi : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ is a complete quotient map, then the map $\psi \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{T}} : \mathcal{S} \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{R} \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{T}$ is also a complete quotient map.

Hence, in order to show that the following diagram commute,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{E}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathcal{E}_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A} , \\
 \downarrow \phi \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{A}} & & \downarrow \phi \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{A}} \\
 \mathcal{S}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & \mathcal{S}_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A}
 \end{array}$$

Hence, in order to show that the following diagram commute,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{E}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathcal{E}_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A} , \\
 \downarrow \phi \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{A}} & & \downarrow \phi \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{A}} \\
 \mathcal{S}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & \mathcal{S}_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A}
 \end{array}$$

we just need to show that $\phi \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{E}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}$ is a complete quotient map.

Proposition

Let $\mathcal{A} = B(\mathcal{K})$ for an arbitrary Hilbert space \mathcal{K} , then the map $\phi \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{E}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}$ is a complete quotient map.

Proposition

Let $\mathcal{A} = B(\mathcal{K})$ for an arbitrary Hilbert space \mathcal{K} , then the map $\phi \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{E}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}$ is a complete quotient map.

The case when $n = \infty$ can be deduce by the inclusion $\mathcal{S}_n \subseteq_{\text{c.o.i.}} \mathcal{S}_\infty$. So it follows that \mathcal{S}_∞ has OSLLP by the following observation:

Proposition

Let $\mathcal{A} = B(\mathcal{K})$ for an arbitrary Hilbert space \mathcal{K} , then the map $\phi \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{E}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}$ is a complete quotient map.

The case when $n = \infty$ can be deduce by the inclusion $\mathcal{S}_n \subseteq_{\text{c.o.i.}} \mathcal{S}_\infty$. So it follows that \mathcal{S}_∞ has OSLLP by the following observation:

If $A \in (\mathcal{S}_\infty \otimes_{\min} B(\mathcal{H}))^+$, then there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $A \in (\mathcal{S}_N \otimes_{\min} B(\mathcal{H}))^+$.

Proposition

Let $\mathcal{A} = B(\mathcal{K})$ for an arbitrary Hilbert space \mathcal{K} , then the map $\phi \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{E}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}$ is a complete quotient map.

The case when $n = \infty$ can be deduce by the inclusion $\mathcal{S}_n \subseteq_{\text{c.o.i.}} \mathcal{S}_\infty$. So it follows that \mathcal{S}_∞ has OSLLP by the following observation:

If $A \in (\mathcal{S}_\infty \otimes_{\min} B(\mathcal{H}))^+$, then there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $A \in (\mathcal{S}_N \otimes_{\min} B(\mathcal{H}))^+$.

On the other hand, we have that

$$(\mathcal{S}_N \otimes_{\min} B(\mathcal{H}))^+ = (\mathcal{S}_N \otimes_{\max} B(\mathcal{H}))^+ \subseteq (\mathcal{S}_\infty \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{A})^+.$$

Definition

For an n -tuple of operators $(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})$, their **joint numerical radius** is defined as:

$$w(T_1, \dots, T_n) := \sup \left| \sum_{\alpha \in F_n^+} \sum_{j=1}^n \langle h_\alpha, T_j h_{g_j \alpha} \rangle \right|,$$

where F_n is the free group on n generators g_1, \dots, g_n , and the supremum is taken over all families of vectors $\{h_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in F_n^+} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ with $\sum_{\alpha \in F_n^+} \|h_\alpha\|^2 = 1$.

We can extend this notion of joint numerical radius for n -tuples in $B(\mathcal{H})$ to the category of C^* -algebras.

Definition

Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra. The *joint numerical radius* of an n -tuple $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}$ is:

$$w(a_1, \dots, a_n) := w(S_1 \otimes a_1^* + \dots + S_n \otimes a_n^*),$$

where S_i 's are Cuntz isometries.

We can extend this notion of joint numerical radius for n -tuples in $B(\mathcal{H})$ to the category of C^* -algebras.

Definition

Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra. The *joint numerical radius* of an n -tuple $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}$ is:

$$w(a_1, \dots, a_n) := w(S_1 \otimes a_1^* + \dots + S_n \otimes a_n^*),$$

where S_i 's are Cuntz isometries.

Theorem

A unital linear map $\phi : S_n^d \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is completely positive if and only if

$$w(\phi(a_1)^*, \dots, \phi(a_n)^*) \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Theorem (kavruk)

Let S be a finite dimensional. Then S is exact if and only if S^d has the lifting property, and vice versa.

Theorem (kavruk)

Let S be a finite dimensional. Then S is exact if and only if S^d has the lifting property, and vice versa.

Theorem

Let \mathcal{A} be a unital C^ -algebra and $J \triangleleft \mathcal{A}$ be an ideal. Suppose $T_1 + J, \dots, T_n + J \in \mathcal{A}/J$, then there exist $W_1, \dots, W_n \in \mathcal{A}$ with $W_i + J = T_i + J$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, such that $w(W_1, \dots, W_n) = w(T_1 + J, \dots, T_n + J)$.*

Question

The operator space generated by Cuntz isometries is not of much interest (it is just the column Hilbert space). So what about the $*$ -operator space,

$$\text{span}\{S_i, S_i^* : 1 \leq i \leq n\}?$$

Also, what about the operator system:

$$\text{span}\{I, S_i, S_i^*, S_i S_i^* : 1 \leq i \leq n\}, \quad \text{etc.}$$

Consider the Cuntz-Krieger algebra \mathcal{O}_A , which is universal the C^* -algebra generated by n partial isometries S_i satisfying

$$\sum_{i=1}^n S_i S_i^* = I, \quad S_i^* S_i = \sum_{j=1}^n A(i,j) S_j S_j^*, \quad A(i,j) = 0, 1.$$

Consider the Cuntz-Krieger algebra \mathcal{O}_A , which is universal the C^* -algebra generated by n partial isometries S_i satisfying

$$\sum_{i=1}^n S_i S_i^* = I, \quad S_i^* S_i = \sum_{j=1}^n A(i,j) S_j S_j^*, \quad A(i,j) = 0, 1.$$

where $A = (A(i,j))$ is a matrix consisting only 0, 1 entries. A.Huef and I.Raeburn proved that for every choice of such matrix A , there always exists a universal C^* -algebra \mathcal{O}_A .

Consider the Cuntz-Krieger algebra \mathcal{O}_A , which is universal the C^* -algebra generated by n partial isometries S_i satisfying

$$\sum_{i=1}^n S_i S_i^* = I, \quad S_i^* S_i = \sum_{j=1}^n A(i,j) S_j S_j^*, \quad A(i,j) = 0, 1.$$

where $A = (A(i,j))$ is a matrix consisting only 0, 1 entries. A.Huef and I.Raeburn proved that for every choice of such matrix A , there always exists a universal C^* -algebra \mathcal{O}_A .

Question

Can we deal with the operator system \mathcal{S}_A^n generated by the universal partial isometries from \mathcal{O}_A ?