

\mathbb{A}^1 -Representability of Hermitian K -Theory.

A^1 -Representability of Hermitian K -Theory.

- What do we mean by ‘Representability’?

\mathbb{A}^1 -Representability of Hermitian K -Theory.

- What do we mean by ‘Representability’?
- The \mathbb{A}^1 -Representability Theorem and consequences

\mathbb{A}^1 -Representability of Hermitian K -Theory.

- What do we mean by ‘Representability’?
- The \mathbb{A}^1 -Representability Theorem and consequences
- Outline of proof

Representability.

Representability.

In topology, there are various ‘cohomology theories’

$$T^n, \quad X \mapsto T^n(X)$$

Representability.

In topology, there are various ‘cohomology theories’

$$T^n, \quad X \mapsto T^n(X)$$

- topological K -theory of complex vector-bundles $KU_{top}^n(X)$,

Representability.

In topology, there are various ‘cohomology theories’

$$T^n, \quad X \mapsto T^n(X)$$

- topological K -theory of complex vector-bundles $KU_{top}^n(X)$,

→ e.g. $KU_{top}^0(X) = (VB_{\mathbb{C}}(X))^+$.

Representability.

In topology, there are various ‘cohomology theories’

$$T^n, \quad X \mapsto T^n(X)$$

- topological K -theory of complex vector-bundles $KU_{top}^n(X)$,

→ e.g. $KU_{top}^0(X) = (VB_{\mathbb{C}}(X))^+$.

- topological K -theory of real vector-bundles $KO_{top}^n(X)$,

→ $KO_{top}^0(X) = (VB_{\mathbb{R}}(X))^+$.

Representability.

Representability.

In the homotopy category $HoTop$

Representability.

In the homotopy category $HoTop$

$$(1) \quad KU_{top}^0(X) = [X, \mathbb{Z} \times BU], \quad \mathbb{Z} \times BU = KU$$

Representability.

In the homotopy category $HoTop$

$$(1) \quad KU_{top}^0(X) = [X, \mathbb{Z} \times BU], \quad \mathbb{Z} \times BU = KU$$

$$(2) \quad KO_{top}^0(X) = [X, \mathbb{Z} \times BO], \quad \mathbb{Z} \times BO = KO$$

Representability.

In the homotopy category $HoTop$

$$(1) \quad KU_{top}^0(X) = [X, \mathbb{Z} \times BU], \quad \mathbb{Z} \times BU = KU$$

$$(2) \quad KO_{top}^0(X) = [X, \mathbb{Z} \times BO], \quad \mathbb{Z} \times BO = KO$$

- KU represents the topological K -theory of complex vector bundles...

Representability.

Representability.

- Representability \longrightarrow ‘homotopy category $HoTop$ ’ (in topology).

Representability.

- Representability \longrightarrow ‘homotopy category $HoTop$ ’ (in topology).
- In algebraic geometry, what is a good notion of homotopy

Representability.

- Representability \longrightarrow ‘homotopy category $HoTop$ ’ (in topology).
- In algebraic geometry, what is a good notion of homotopy
- Morel and Voevodsky (\sim 1999): the \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory

Representability.

- Representability \longrightarrow ‘homotopy category $HoTop$ ’ (in topology).
- In algebraic geometry, what is a good notion of homotopy
- Morel and Voevodsky (\sim 1999): the \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory
- $[X, KU] = \text{Hom}_{HoTop}(X, KU).$

The \mathbb{A}^1 -Representability Theorem and Consequences.

The \mathbb{A}^1 -Representability Theorem and Consequences.

Algebraic K -groups: $R =$ a comm ring, $K_i(R)$, $i \geq 0$

The \mathbb{A}^1 -Representability Theorem and Consequences.

Algebraic K -groups: $R =$ a comm ring, $K_i(R)$, $i \geq 0$

- (Grothendieck- 1957) $K_0(R) = \mathcal{P}(R)^+$

The \mathbb{A}^1 -Representability Theorem and Consequences.

Algebraic K -groups: $R =$ a comm ring, $K_i(R)$, $i \geq 0$

- (Grothendieck- 1957) $K_0(R) = \mathcal{P}(R)^+$
→ group completion of the monoid $\mathcal{P}(R)$

The \mathbb{A}^1 -Representability Theorem and Consequences.

Algebraic K -groups: $R =$ a comm ring, $K_i(R)$, $i \geq 0$

- (Grothendieck- 1957) $K_0(R) = \mathcal{P}(R)^+$

→ group completion of the monoid $\mathcal{P}(R)$

- (Quillen- 1972) $K_i(R) = \pi_{i+1}(\square)$

The \mathbb{A}^1 -Representability Theorem and Consequences.

Algebraic K -groups: $R =$ a comm ring, $K_i(R)$, $i \geq 0$

- (Grothendieck- 1957) $K_0(R) = \mathcal{P}(R)^+$

→ group completion of the monoid $\mathcal{P}(R)$

- (Quillen- 1972) $K_i(R) = \pi_{i+1}(\square)$

→ homotopy group of a top space.

The \mathbb{A}^1 -Representability Theorem and Consequences.

The \mathbb{A}^1 -Representability Theorem and Consequences.

Hermitian K -groups: $GW_i(R)$ = higher Grothendieck-Witt groups.

The \mathbb{A}^1 -Representability Theorem and Consequences.

Hermitian K -groups: $GW_i(R)$ = higher Grothendieck-Witt groups.

- (Knebusch- 1970) $GW_0(R) = \widehat{W}(R)^+$

The \mathbb{A}^1 -Representability Theorem and Consequences.

Hermitian K -groups: $GW_i(R)$ = higher Grothendieck-Witt groups.

- (Knebusch- 1970) $GW_0(R) = \widehat{W}(R)^+$
→ group completion of the monoid $\widehat{W}(R)$

The \mathbb{A}^1 -Representability Theorem and Consequences.

Hermitian K -groups: $GW_i(R)$ = higher Grothendieck-Witt groups.

- (Knebusch- 1970) $GW_0(R) = \widehat{W}(R)^+$
 - group completion of the monoid $\widehat{W}(R)$
 - $\widehat{W}(R) =$ isometry cl of nondeg sym bil forms on fg proj R -mod

The \mathbb{A}^1 -Representability Theorem and Consequences.

Hermitian K -groups: $GW_i(R)$ = higher Grothendieck-Witt groups.

- (Knebusch- 1970) $GW_0(R) = \widehat{W}(R)^+$
 - group completion of the monoid $\widehat{W}(R)$
 - $\widehat{W}(R) =$ isometry cl of nondeg sym bil forms on fg proj R -mod
- (Karoubi- \sim 1974) $GW_i(R) = \pi_{i+1}(\Xi)$

The \mathbb{A}^1 -Representability Theorem and Consequences.

Hermitian K -groups: $GW_i(R)$ = higher Grothendieck-Witt groups.

- (Knebusch- 1970) $GW_0(R) = \widehat{W}(R)^+$
 - group completion of the monoid $\widehat{W}(R)$
 - $\widehat{W}(R)$ = isometry cl of nondeg sym bil forms on fg proj R -mod
- (Karoubi- ~1974) $GW_i(R) = \pi_{i+1}(\Xi)$
 - homotopy group of a top space.

The \mathbb{A}^1 -Representability Theorem and Consequences.

Examples:

$$\mathrm{rk} : GW_0(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{Z}$$

$$(i^+, i^-) : GW_0(\mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$$

$$(\mathrm{rk}, \det) : GW_0(\mathbb{F}_q) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{F}_q^\times / F_q^{\times 2} = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } q \text{ even} \\ \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } q \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

$$GW_0(\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} GW_0(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$$

$$GW_0(\mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\cong} GW_0(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \bigoplus_p W_0(\mathbb{F}_p)$$

The \mathbb{A}^1 -Representability Theorem and Consequences.

The \mathbb{A}^1 -Representability Theorem and Consequences.

- Morel-Voevodsky (1999) For a field F

$$K_n(X) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_\bullet(F)}(S^n \wedge X_+, Gr)$$

The \mathbb{A}^1 -Representability Theorem and Consequences.

- Morel-Voevodsky (1999) For a field F

$$K_n(X) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_\bullet(F)}(S^n \wedge X_+, Gr)$$

→ Gr = Grassmannian, given by a scheme.

The \mathbb{A}^1 -Representability Theorem and Consequences.

- Morel-Voevodsky (1999) For a field F

$$K_n(X) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_\bullet(F)}(S^n \wedge X_+, Gr)$$

→ Gr = Grassmannian, given by a scheme.

- Hornbostel (2005) For a field F of char $\neq 2$

$$GW_n(X) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_\bullet(F)}(S^n \wedge X_+, a(\mathcal{K}^h)_f)$$

The \mathbb{A}^1 -Representability Theorem and Consequences.

- Morel-Voevodsky (1999) For a field F

$$K_n(X) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_\bullet(F)}(S^n \wedge X_+, Gr)$$

→ Gr = Grassmannian, given by a scheme.

- Hornbostel (2005) For a field F of char $\neq 2$

$$GW_n(X) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_\bullet(F)}(S^n \wedge X_+, a(\mathcal{K}^h)_f)$$

- The \mathbb{A}^1 -Representability Theorem (2010): If GrO is H -space,

$$GW_n(X) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_\bullet(F)}(S^n \wedge X_+, GrO) \quad (\text{char } F \neq 2)$$

The \mathbb{A}^1 -Representability Theorem and Consequences.

- Morel-Voevodsky (1999) For a field F

$$K_n(X) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_\bullet(F)}(S^n \wedge X_+, Gr)$$

→ Gr = Grassmannian, given by a scheme.

- Hornbostel (2005) For a field F of char $\neq 2$

$$GW_n(X) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_\bullet(F)}(S^n \wedge X_+, a(\mathcal{K}^h)_f)$$

- The \mathbb{A}^1 -Representability Theorem (2010): If GrO is H -space,

$$GW_n(X) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_\bullet(F)}(S^n \wedge X_+, GrO) \quad (\text{char } F \neq 2)$$

→ GrO = orthogonal Grassmannian, given by a scheme.

The \mathbb{A}^1 -Representability Theorem and Consequences.

- The \mathbb{A}^1 -Representability Theorem (2010): If $\mathcal{G}rO$ is H -space,

$$GW_n(X) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_\bullet(F)}(S^n \wedge X_+, \mathcal{G}rO)$$

It should help:

\implies Atiyah-Hirzebruch sp. seq. for hermitian K -theory

The \mathbb{A}^1 -Representability Theorem and Consequences.

- The \mathbb{A}^1 -Representability Theorem (2010): If $\mathcal{G}rO$ is H -space,

$$GW_n(X) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_\bullet(F)}(S^n \wedge X_+, \mathcal{G}rO)$$

It should help:

\implies Atiyah-Hirzebruch sp. seq. for hermitian K -theory

\implies the cohomology operations.

The \mathbb{A}^1 -Representability Theorem and Consequences.

- $L\rho_{\mathbb{R}}^*, L\rho_{\mathbb{C}}^* : \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow HoTop$
 $X \mapsto X(\mathbb{R}), \quad X \mapsto X(\mathbb{C})$

The \mathbb{A}^1 -Representability Theorem and Consequences.

- $L\rho_{\mathbb{R}}^*, L\rho_{\mathbb{C}}^* : \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow HoTop$

$$X \mapsto X(\mathbb{R}), \quad X \mapsto X(\mathbb{C})$$

\implies **Corollary 1:** For $L\rho_{\mathbb{C}}^* : \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow HoTop$

$$L\rho_{\mathbb{C}}^* \mathcal{K}^h = GrO(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \times BO(\mathbb{R}) \simeq KO^{top}$$

The \mathbb{A}^1 -Representability Theorem and Consequences.

- $L\rho_{\mathbb{R}}^*, L\rho_{\mathbb{C}}^* : \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow HoTop$

$$X \mapsto X(\mathbb{R}), \quad X \mapsto X(\mathbb{C})$$

\implies **Corollary 1:** For $L\rho_{\mathbb{C}}^* : \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow HoTop$

$$L\rho_{\mathbb{C}}^* \mathcal{K}^h = GrO(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \times BO(\mathbb{R}) \simeq KO^{top}$$

\implies **Corollary 2:**

$$\begin{aligned} L\rho_{\mathbb{R}}^* \mathcal{K}^h &= GrO(\mathbb{R}) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \times BO(\mathbb{R}) \times BO(\mathbb{R}) \\ &\simeq KO^{top} \times KO^{top} \end{aligned}$$

Outline of Proof.

Outline of Proof.

- $\Delta^{op}Sets$ = the category of simplicial sets,

Outline of Proof.

- $\Delta^{op}Sets$ = the category of simplicial sets
- algebraic model for Top

Outline of Proof.

- $\Delta^{op}Sets$ = the category of simplicial sets

→ algebraic model for Top

- $\Delta^{op}Sets = \{ \Delta \xrightarrow{\text{contra. functors}} Sets \}$

Outline of Proof.

- $\Delta^{op}Sets$ = the category of simplicial sets

→ algebraic model for Top

- $\Delta^{op}Sets = \{\Delta \xrightarrow{\text{contra. functors}} Sets\}$

- In $\Delta^{op}Sets$ the well-known notions of topological homotopy theory have descriptions that can be adapted in a wide variety of contexts (in algebraic geometry, in particular).

Outline of Proof.

- $\Delta^{op}Sets$ = the category of simplicial sets

→ algebraic model for Top

- $\Delta^{op}Sets = \{\Delta \xrightarrow{\text{contra. functors}} Sets\}$

- In $\Delta^{op}Sets$ the well-known notions of topological homotopy theory have descriptions that can be adapted in a wide variety of contexts (in algebraic geometry, in particular).

- There is a set \mathcal{W} of morphisms in $\Delta^{op}Sets$, called weak equivalences, with the property there is an equivalence of categories

$$\Delta^{op}Sets[\mathcal{W}^{-1}] \longleftrightarrow HoTop$$

Outline of Proof.

- In algebraic geometry, the appropriate category is

$$\Delta^{op} PShv(Sm/F)$$

Outline of Proof.

- In algebraic geometry, the appropriate category is

$$\Delta^{op} PShv(Sm/F)$$

→ the category of ‘simplicial presheaves of sets’ on the category Sm/F of smooth F -schemes. This is analogue of the category Top .

Outline of Proof.

- In algebraic geometry, the appropriate category is

$$\Delta^{op} PShv(Sm/F)$$

→ the category of ‘simplicial presheaves of sets’ on the category Sm/F of smooth F -schemes. This is analogue of the category Top .

- $\Delta^{op} PShv(Sm/F) = \{Sm/F \xrightarrow{\text{contra. functors}} \Delta^{op} Sets\}$

Outline of Proof.

- In algebraic geometry, the appropriate category is

$$\Delta^{op} PShv(Sm/F)$$

→ the category of ‘simplicial presheaves of sets’ on the category Sm/F of smooth F -schemes. This is analogue of the category Top .

- $\Delta^{op} PShv(Sm/F) = \{Sm/F \xrightarrow{\text{contra. functors}} \Delta^{op} Sets\}$

→ We have (full and faithful), $Sm/F \subset \Delta^{op} PShv(Sm/F)$

Outline of Proof.

- In algebraic geometry, the appropriate category is

$$\Delta^{op} PShv(Sm/F)$$

→ the category of ‘simplicial presheaves of sets’ on the category Sm/F of smooth F -schemes. This is analogue of the category Top .

- $\Delta^{op} PShv(Sm/F) = \{Sm/F \xrightarrow{\text{contra. functors}} \Delta^{op} Sets\}$

→ We have (full and faithful), $Sm/F \subset \Delta^{op} PShv(Sm/F)$

- In this category there is a set of morphisms $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{A}^1}$, the set of \mathbb{A}^1 -weak equivalences: For example, $X \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow X$ is in $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{A}^1}$.

Outline of Proof.

- In algebraic geometry, the appropriate category is

$$\Delta^{op} PShv(Sm/F)$$

→ the category of ‘simplicial presheaves of sets’ on the category Sm/F of smooth F -schemes. This is analogue of the category Top .

- $\Delta^{op} PShv(Sm/F) = \{Sm/F \xrightarrow{\text{contra. functors}} \Delta^{op} Sets\}$

→ We have (full and faithful), $Sm/F \subset \Delta^{op} PShv(Sm/F)$

- In this category there is a set of morphisms $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{A}^1}$, the set of \mathbb{A}^1 -weak equivalences. For example, $X \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow X$ is in $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{A}^1}$. And, the analogue of the category $HoTop$ is

$$\Delta^{op} PShv(Sm/F)[\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{-1}]$$

Outline of Proof.

- In algebraic geometry, the appropriate category is

$$\Delta^{op} PShv(Sm/F)$$

→ the category of ‘simplicial presheaves of sets’ on the category Sm/F of smooth F -schemes. This is analogue of the category Top .

- $\Delta^{op} PShv(Sm/F) = \{Sm/F \xrightarrow{\text{contra. functors}} \Delta^{op} Sets\}$

→ We have (full and faithful), $Sm/F \subset \Delta^{op} PShv(Sm/F)$

- In this category there is a set of morphisms $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{A}^1}$, the set of \mathbb{A}^1 -weak equivalences. For example, $X \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow X$ is in $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{A}^1}$. And, the analogue of the category $HoTop$ is

$$\Delta^{op} PShv(Sm/F)[\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{-1}] = \mathcal{H}(F)$$

→ The homotopy category of smooth F -schemes [MV (1999)].

Outline of Proof.

- In algebraic geometry, the appropriate category is

$$\Delta^{op} PShv(Sm/F)$$

→ the category of ‘simplicial presheaves of sets’ on the category Sm/F of smooth F -schemes. This is analogue of the category Top .

- $\Delta^{op} PShv(Sm/F) = \{Sm/F \xrightarrow{\text{contra. functors}} \Delta^{op} Sets\}$

→ We have (full and faithful), $Sm/F \subset \Delta^{op} PShv(Sm/F)$

- In this category there is a set of morphisms $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{A}^1}$, the set of \mathbb{A}^1 -weak equivalences. For example, $X \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow X$ is in $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{A}^1}$. And, the analogue of the category $HoTop$ is

$$\Delta^{op} PShv(Sm/F)[\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{-1}] = \mathcal{H}(F)$$

→ The homotopy category of smooth F -schemes [MV (1999)].

- $\Delta^{op} PShv_{\bullet}(Sm/F)[\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{-1}] = \mathcal{H}_{\bullet}(F)$

Outline of Proof

The Presheaf GrO .

- $m, n \geq 0$ $Gr_F(n, H^m) \longrightarrow$ smooth F -scheme

Outline of Proof

The Presheaf GrO .

- $m, n \geq 0$ $Gr_F(n, H^m) \longrightarrow$ smooth F -scheme
 \rightarrow open subscheme of Grassmannian $Gr_F(n, 2m)$ over F .

Outline of Proof

The Presheaf GrO .

- $m, n \geq 0$ $Gr_F(n, H^m) \longrightarrow$ smooth F -scheme
 \rightarrow open subscheme of Grassmannian $Gr_F(n, 2m)$ over F .
- $Gr_F(n, H^m)(R)$
 $=$ rk n nondegen proj factors of $H^m(R)$.

Outline of Proof

The Presheaf GrO .

- ... $Gr_F(n, H^m) \rightarrow Gr_F(n, H^{m+1})$...

Outline of Proof

The Presheaf GrO .

- ... $Gr_F(n, H^m) \rightarrow Gr_F(n, H^{m+1})$...
- colimit $Gr_F(n, H^\infty)$

Outline of Proof

The Presheaf GrO .

- ... $Gr_F(n, H^m) \rightarrow Gr_F(n, H^{m+1})$...
- colimit $Gr_F(n, H^\infty)$.
- Let $Gr_F(\mathbb{N}, H^\infty) = \coprod_{n \geq 0} Gr_F(n, H^\infty)$.

Outline of Proof

The Presheaf GrO .

- ... $Gr_F(n, H^m) \rightarrow Gr_F(n, H^{m+1})$...
- colimit $Gr_F(n, H^\infty)$.
- Let $Gr_F(\mathbb{N}, H^\infty) = \coprod_{n \geq 0} Gr_F(n, H^\infty)$.
- addition of hyperbolic plane
... $Gr_F(\mathbb{N}, H^\infty) \xrightarrow{H^\perp} Gr_F(\mathbb{N}, H^\infty)$...

Outline of Proof

The Presheaf $\mathcal{G}rO$.

- $\dots Gr_F(n, H^m) \rightarrow Gr_F(n, H^{m+1}) \dots$
- colimit $Gr_F(n, H^\infty)$.
- Let $Gr_F(\mathbb{N}, H^\infty) = \coprod_{n \geq 0} Gr_F(n, H^\infty)$.
- addition of hyperbolic plane
 $\dots Gr_F(\mathbb{N}, H^\infty) \xrightarrow{H^\perp} Gr_F(\mathbb{N}, H^\infty) \dots$
- colimit in $\Delta^{op} PShv(Sm/F)$
 $\longrightarrow \mathcal{G}rO$.

Outline of Proof The Simplicial Presheaf \mathcal{K}^h .

Outline of Proof The Simplicial Presheaf \mathcal{K}^h .

- $R =$ a comm ring, $\mathcal{S}_R =$ a category.

Outline of Proof The Simplicial Presheaf \mathcal{K}^h .

- $R =$ a comm ring, $\mathcal{S}_R =$ a category.
- Objects: (M, ϕ)

Outline of Proof The Simplicial Presheaf \mathcal{K}^h .

- $R =$ a comm ring, $\mathcal{S}_R =$ a category.
 - Objects: (M, ϕ)
- $M \subset H^\infty(R)$, a f.g. proj factor s.t. $\phi (= h|_M)$ is nondegen.

Outline of Proof The Simplicial Presheaf \mathcal{K}^h .

- $R =$ a comm ring, $\mathcal{S}_R =$ a category.
 - Objects: (M, ϕ)
- $M \subset H^\infty(R)$, a f.g. proj factor s.t. $\phi (= h|_M)$ is nondegen.
- $\mathcal{S}_R =$ a symmetric monoidal category

Outline of Proof The Simplicial Presheaf \mathcal{K}^h .

- $R =$ a comm ring, $\mathcal{S}_R =$ a category.
- Objects: (M, ϕ)
- $M \subset H^\infty(R)$, a f.g. proj factor s.t. $\phi (= h|_M)$ is nondegen.
- $\mathcal{S}_R =$ a symmetric monoidal category
- $\mathcal{S}_R^{-1} \mathcal{S}_R = \mathcal{P}_\hbar(R)$

Outline of Proof The Simplicial Presheaf \mathcal{K}^h .

- $R =$ a comm ring, $\mathcal{S}_R =$ a category.
- Objects: (M, ϕ)
- $M \subset H^\infty(R)$, a f.g. proj factor s.t. $\phi (= h|_M)$ is nondegen.
- $\mathcal{S}_R =$ a symmetric monoidal category
- $\mathcal{S}_R^{-1} \mathcal{S}_R = \mathcal{P}_{\hbar}(R)$, a functor $\mathcal{S}_R \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\hbar}(R)$.

Outline of Proof

The Simplicial Presheaf \mathcal{K}^h .

- $X \in Sm/F$, $\mathcal{K}^h(X) = \mathcal{NP}_{\hbar}(\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X))$

Outline of Proof The Simplicial Presheaf \mathcal{K}^h .

- $X \in Sm/F$, $\mathcal{K}^h(X) = \mathcal{NP}_{\hbar}(\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X))$
- $\mathcal{K}_0^h =$ the connected component of 0.

Outline of Proof The map $GrO \xrightarrow{\hbar} \mathcal{K}^h$.

Outline of Proof The map $GrO \xrightarrow{\hbar} \mathcal{K}^h$.

- $Gr_F(\mathbb{N}, H^\infty)(R) = Ob \mathcal{S}_R \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^h(R)$

Outline of Proof The map $\mathcal{G}rO \xrightarrow{\hbar} \mathcal{K}^h$.

- $Gr_F(\mathbb{N}, H^\infty)(R) = Ob \mathcal{S}_R \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^h(R)$

- $\mathcal{G}rO \xrightarrow{\hbar} \mathcal{K}^h$

Outline of Proof $\hbar : GrO \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^h$ is in $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{A}^1}$?

Outline of Proof $\mathfrak{h} : \mathcal{G}rO \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^h$ is in $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{A}^1}$?

- Commutative diagram in \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy category

$$\begin{array}{ccc} BO & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \mathcal{K}_0^h \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{G}rO & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{h}} & \mathcal{K}^h \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ a_{Nis}(\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{G}rO) & \xrightarrow{\zeta} & a_{Nis} GW_0 \end{array}$$

Outline of Proof $\mathfrak{h} : \mathcal{G}rO \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^h$ is in $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{A}^1}$?

- Commutative diagram in \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy category

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 BO & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \mathcal{K}_0^h \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \mathcal{G}rO & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{h}} & \mathcal{K}^h \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 a_{Nis}(\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{G}rO) & \xrightarrow{\zeta} & a_{Nis} GW_0
 \end{array}$$

- Theorem: columns \mathbb{A}^1 -fibrations

Outline of Proof $\mathfrak{h} : \mathcal{G}rO \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^h$ is in $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{A}^1}$?

- Commutative diagram in \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy category

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 BO & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \mathcal{K}_0^h \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \mathcal{G}rO & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{h}} & \mathcal{K}^h \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 a_{Nis}(\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{G}rO) & \xrightarrow{\zeta} & a_{Nis} GW_0
 \end{array}$$

- Theorem: columns \mathbb{A}^1 -fibrations
- Theorem: γ is \mathbb{A}^1 -weak equivalence

Outline of Proof $\mathfrak{h} : \mathcal{G}rO \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^h$ is in $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{A}^1}$?

- Commutative diagram in \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy category

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 BO & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \mathcal{K}_0^h \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \mathcal{G}rO & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{h}} & \mathcal{K}^h \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 a_{Nis}(\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{G}rO) & \xrightarrow{\zeta} & a_{Nis} GW_0
 \end{array}$$

- Theorem: columns \mathbb{A}^1 -fibrations
- Theorem: γ is \mathbb{A}^1 -weak equivalence
- Theorem: ζ is an isomorphism.

Outline of Proof $\mathfrak{h} : \mathcal{G}rO \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^h$ is in $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{A}^1}$?

- Commutative diagram in \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy category

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 BO & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \mathcal{K}_0^h \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \mathcal{G}rO & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{h}} & \mathcal{K}^h \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 a_{Nis}(\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{G}rO) & \xrightarrow{\zeta} & a_{Nis} GW_0
 \end{array}$$

- Theorem: columns \mathbb{A}^1 -fibrations.
- Theorem: γ is \mathbb{A}^1 -weak equivalence.
- Theorem: ζ is an isomorphism.
- make \mathbb{A}^1 -fibrant replacement, compare homotopy groups

Outline of Proof.

- A diagram of top spaces when evaluated at $X \in Sm/F$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (BO)_f & \xrightarrow{\gamma_f} & (\mathcal{K}_0^h)_f \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (GrO)_f & \xrightarrow{\hbar_f} & (\mathcal{K}^h)_f \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (a_{Nis}(\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1} GrO))_f & \xrightarrow{\zeta_f} & (a_{Nis} GW_0)_f \end{array}$$

Outline of Proof.

- A diagram of top spaces when evaluated at $X \in Sm/F$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (BO)_f & \xrightarrow{\gamma_f} & (\mathcal{K}_0^h)_f \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (GrO)_f & \xrightarrow{\hbar_f} & (\mathcal{K}^h)_f \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (a_{Nis}(\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1} GrO))_f & \xrightarrow{\zeta_f} & (a_{Nis} GW_0)_f \end{array}$$

→ columns fib, and γ_f weak eq, and ζ_f isom.

→ \hbar_f induces isomorphism of homotopy groups at 0.

Outline of Proof.

- A diagram of top spaces when evaluated at $X \in Sm/F$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (BO)_f & \xrightarrow{\gamma_f} & (\mathcal{K}_0^h)_f \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 (GrO)_f & \xrightarrow{\hbar_f} & (\mathcal{K}^h)_f \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 (a_{Nis}(\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1} GrO))_f & \xrightarrow{\zeta_f} & (a_{Nis} GW_0)_f
 \end{array}$$

→ columns fib, and γ_f weak eq, and ζ_f isom.

→ \hbar_f induces isomorphism of homotopy groups at 0.

- Theorem: If GrO is H -space, then
 \hbar_f is a weak equivalence.

Outline of Proof $\mathfrak{h} : \mathcal{G}rO \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^h$ is in $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{A}^1}$?

- Commutative diagram in \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy category

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 BO & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \mathcal{K}_0^h \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \mathcal{G}rO & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{h}} & \mathcal{K}^h \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 a_{Nis}(\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{G}rO) & \xrightarrow{\zeta} & a_{Nis} GW_0
 \end{array}$$

- Theorem: columns \mathbb{A}^1 -fibrations.
- Theorem: γ is \mathbb{A}^1 -weak equivalence.
- Theorem: ζ is an isomorphism.
- make \mathbb{A}^1 -fibrant replacement, compare homotopy groups
- **Theorem:** If $\mathcal{G}rO$ is H -space, then \mathfrak{h} is \mathbb{A}^1 -weak equivalence.

