

E_n as a continuous
 G_n -spectrum and its homotopy
fixed point spectra

Daniel Davis

January 18, 2003

§1. Notation

- Fix $n \geq 1$, a prime p .
- $S_n = \text{Aut}_{\Gamma_n}(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})$ is the n th Morava stabilizer group, where Γ_n is the unique p -typical height n formal group law with $[p]_{\Gamma_n}(x) = x^{p^n}$, over \mathbb{F}_{p^n} .
- $G_n = S_n \rtimes \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}/\mathbb{F}_p)$.
- G_n is a profinite group and there exists a collection of open normal subgroups

$$G_n = U_0 \supsetneq U_1 \supsetneq \dots \supsetneq U_i \supsetneq \dots, \text{ with } \bigcap_i U_i = \{e\},$$

where

$$G_n \approx \varprojlim_i G_n/U_i$$

has the profinite topology.

- $E_{n*} = W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})[[u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}]][u^{\pm 1}]$,

$$|u_i| = 0, |u| = -2.$$

- E_{n*} is Landweber exact; the corresponding homology theory is represented by E_n , the Lubin-Tate spectrum.
- $I = (p^{i_0}, \dots, u_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}}) \subset E_{n,0}$. There is a collection of these ideals I such that

$$E_{n,0} \cong \varprojlim_I E_{n,0}/I.$$

- $E_{n,0}$ is a profinite topological space.
- $E_{n*} = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} E_{n,0} \cdot u^{-k}$ is also a topological space.

- M_I is a generalized Moore spectrum where

$$BP_*(M_I) = BP_*/I.$$

- L_n and $L_{K(n)}$ denote Bousfield localization with respect to $E(n)_*$ and $K(n)_*$, respectively.

- A completion result for any spectrum E :

$$L_{K(n)}(E) \simeq \text{holim}_I (L_n E \wedge M_I).$$

- X always denotes a finite spectrum.

§2. Context and Motivation

- G_n acts continuously on E_{n*} by ring automorphisms.
- This continuous action appears in the **Morava Change of Rings Theorem**, which via the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence yields a spectral sequence

$$H_c^{*,*}(G_n; \pi_*(E_n \wedge X)) \Rightarrow \pi_*(L_{K(n)}(X)).$$

- By Brown representability and the graded profiniteness of E_{n*} , G_n acts on the spectrum $E_n \wedge X$ in the stable homotopy category. This does not imply that E_n is a G_n -spectrum.

The appearance of continuous cohomology in the spectral sequence

$$H_c^{*,*}(G_n; \pi_*(E_n \wedge X)) \Rightarrow \pi_*(L_{K(n)}(X))$$

is a hint that something more is going on with the action and the spectral sequence.

If Y is a G -spectrum, where G is a finite or discrete group, then there is a *descent spectral sequence*

$$H^s(G; \pi_t(Y)) \Rightarrow \pi_{t-s}(Y^{hG}),$$

where $Y^{hG} := \text{Map}_G(EG_+, Y)$.

By the work of Jardine and Thomason, this scenario can be generalized when G is a profinite group ...

- We say Y is a *discrete G -spectrum* if:
 - Y is a G -spectrum of simplicial sets;
 - Each pointed simplicial set Y_k is a simplicial discrete pointed G -set.
- There is a homotopy fixed point spectrum $Y^{h'G}$ and a descent spectral sequence:

$$H_c^s(G; \pi_t(Y)) \Rightarrow \pi_{t-s}(Y^{h'G}).$$

- Comparison with

$$H_c^{*,*}(G_n; \pi_*(E_n \wedge X)) \Rightarrow \pi_*(L_{K(n)}(X))$$

leads to some natural questions:

- Are E_n and $E_n \wedge X$ continuous G_n -spectra?
- Is $L_{K(n)}X$ the homotopy fixed point spectrum $(E_n \wedge X)^{h'G_n}$? Is $L_{K(n)}S^0 \simeq E_n^{h'G_n}$?
- E_n is not a discrete G_n -spectrum: if it is, then E_{n*} is a discrete G_n -module.

The **Hopkins-Miller Theorem** implies that G_n acts on E_n through A_∞ -maps, so that E_n is actually a G_n -spectrum.

§3. More Motivation, from the Work of Devinatz, Goerss, and Hopkins

- G_n acts on E_n through maps of commutative S -algebras.
- For any open subgroup U in G_n , there is a spectrum E_n^{hU} . In particular, there is the G_n/U_i -spectrum $E_n^{hU_i}$.
- $E_n^{hG_n} \simeq L_{K(n)}S^0$.
- Henceforth, G is a closed subgroup of G_n . If G is not finite, then G is a non-discrete profinite group. Any open subgroup is closed.
- $E_n^{hG} := L_{K(n)}(\operatorname{colim}_i E_n^{hU_i G})$.

- There is an Adams spectral sequence

$$H_c^s(G; \pi_t(E_n \wedge X)) \Rightarrow \pi_{t-s}(E_n^{hG} \wedge X),$$

so that $E_n^{hG} \wedge X$ behaves like the G -homotopy fixed point spectrum of $E_n \wedge X$.

- If $X = S^0$,

$$H_c^s(G; \pi_t(E_n)) \Rightarrow \pi_{t-s}(E_n^{hG}),$$

and when $G = G_n$,

$E_n^{hG_n} \wedge X \simeq L_{K(n)}S^0 \wedge X \simeq L_{K(n)}X$ yields

$$H_c^s(G_n; \pi_t(E_n \wedge X)) \Rightarrow \pi_{t-s}(L_{K(n)}X).$$

- Therefore, the work of Devinatz and Hopkins provides more evidence that:
 - E_n and $E_n \wedge X$ are continuous G_n -spectra.
 - There are homotopy fixed point spectra $(E_n \wedge X)^{h'G}$ defined with respect to the continuous G -action with associated descent spectral sequences.

§4. E_n is a continuous G_n -spectrum

- Henceforth, “spectrum” means Bousfield-Friedlander spectrum of simplicial sets.
- Note that

$$E_n \simeq \operatorname{holim}_I E_n \wedge M_I, \text{ and}$$

$\pi_*(E_n \wedge M_I) \cong E_{n*}/I$ is a discrete G_n -module. Is there a spectrum E_n/I that is weakly equivalent to $E_n \wedge M_I$ and that is a discrete G_n -spectrum?

- $F_u := \operatorname{colim}_i E_n^{hU_i}$ is a discrete G_n -spectrum. Thus, $F_u \wedge M_I$ is a discrete G_n -spectrum.

Theorem 1 (Hovey/Strickland). *If E is any spectrum and F is a finite spectrum of type n , then*

$$(L_{K(n)}E) \wedge F \simeq L_n(E) \wedge F.$$

Theorem 2. $F_u \wedge M_I \xrightarrow{\simeq} E_n \wedge M_I.$

Proof. By the work of Devinatz and Hopkins,

$$E_n \simeq E_n^{h\{e\}} := L_{K(n)}(F_u).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} E_n \wedge M_I &\simeq (L_{K(n)}F_u) \wedge M_I \\ &\simeq L_n(F_u) \wedge M_I \\ &\simeq F_u \wedge M_I. \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 3. *Since $E_n \simeq \text{holim}_I F_u \wedge M_I$ is a homotopy inverse limit of discrete G_n -spectra, E_n is a continuous G_n -spectrum. Similarly,*

$$E_n \wedge X \simeq \text{holim}_I (F_u \wedge M_I \wedge X)$$

is a continuous G_n -spectrum.

§5. Homotopy fixed point spectra for $E_n \wedge X$

- $(E_n \wedge X)^{h'G} := \operatorname{holim}_I (F_u \wedge M_I \wedge X)^{h'G}$.
- By construction, $F_u^{h'G}$ is $E(n)_*$ -local, so

$$E_n^{h'G} \simeq L_{K(n)}(F_u^{h'G})$$

is $K(n)_*$ -local.

Theorem 4. *Let G be a closed subgroup of G_n . Then there is a descent spectral sequence*

$$H_c^s(G; \pi_t(E_n \wedge X)) \Rightarrow \pi_{t-s}((E_n \wedge X)^{h'G}).$$

Key Ingredients of Proof. Let Y be a discrete G -spectrum. Let

$\Gamma: \text{discrete } G\text{-spectra} \longrightarrow \text{discrete } G\text{-spectra}$
be the functor defined by

$$Y \mapsto \Gamma(Y) = \operatorname{Map}_c(G, Y).$$

This gives a cosimplicial spectrum $\Gamma \cdot (Y)$.

- $Y^{h'G} := \text{holim}_{\Delta} (\Gamma \cdot (Y))^G$. This is a special case of Thomason's hypercohomology spectrum. Since G has finite virtual cohomological dimension, this definition agrees with Jardine's globally fibrant replacement $G(\text{Hom}_G(-, Y))(*).$
- Let $F \cdot$ be a cosimplicial fibrant spectrum. There is the Bousfield-Kan spectral sequence

$$\pi^s \pi_t(F \cdot) \Rightarrow \pi_{t-s}(\text{holim}_{\Delta} F \cdot).$$

- Set

$$F \cdot := \text{holim}_I \left(\Gamma \cdot \left(\text{colim}_i Q(E_n^{hU_i} \wedge M_I \wedge X) \right) \right)^G$$

and apply the Bousfield-Kan spectral sequence.

□

§6. Comparison between $(E_n \wedge X)^{h'G}$ and $E_n^{hG} \wedge X$

“Theorem” 5.

$$(\operatorname{colim}_i E_n^{hU_i G}) \wedge M_I \xrightarrow{\simeq} F_u^{h'G} \wedge M_I.$$

Corollary 6. *There is a weak equivalence*

$$(E_n \wedge X)^{h'G} \simeq E_n^{hG} \wedge X.$$

In particular,

$$E_n^{h'G} \simeq E_n^{hG}.$$

Corollary 7. $(E_n \wedge X)^{h'G_n} \simeq L_{K(n)}(X)$. *Thus, the $K(n)_*$ -localization of a finite spectrum is a homotopy fixed point spectrum.*