

## Math 566 - Homework 6

SOLUTIONS

*Prof Arturo Magidin*

1. Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}_6$ , and  $S = \{2, 4\}$ . Prove that  $S$  is a multiplicative subset of  $R$ , and that  $S^{-1}R \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$ .

**Proof.** I will prove this without invoking the Universal Property of the ring of fractions first; I will give a proof invoking this property below.

Since  $6\mathbb{Z} \subseteq 3\mathbb{Z}$ , we have a natural map  $\psi: \mathbb{Z}_6 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_3$  given by  $\psi(a + 6\mathbb{Z}) = a + 3\mathbb{Z}$ . Note that under this homomorphism,  $2 + 6\mathbb{Z}$  maps to  $2 + 3\mathbb{Z}$ , which is a unit; and  $4 + 6\mathbb{Z}$  maps to  $4 + 3\mathbb{Z} = 1 + 3\mathbb{Z}$ , which is a unit. In fact, each of them is its own inverse.

This suggests defining  $f: S^{-1}R \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_3$  by

$$\phi\left(\frac{r}{s}\right) = \psi(r)\psi(s)^{-1} = \psi(r)\psi(s) = \psi(rs) = rs + 3\mathbb{Z}.$$

We just need to verify this works.

First, we check that this is well-defined. Recall that if  $s \in S$ , then  $s^2 + 3\mathbb{Z} = 1 + 3\mathbb{Z}$ , and that  $s + 3\mathbb{Z}$  is not a zero divisor in  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ , so it can be cancelled.

If  $\frac{r}{s} = \frac{u}{t}$ , then there exists  $w \in S$  such that  $w(rt - us) = 0$ . Therefore  $wrt = usw$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_6$ . But this means that  $\psi(wrt) = \psi(usw)$ , and hence that  $\psi(w)\psi(rs) = \psi(w)\psi(us)$ . As  $\psi(w)$  is not a zero divisor, then  $\psi(rs) = \psi(us)$ . Thus,  $\phi\left(\frac{r}{s}\right) = \phi\left(\frac{u}{t}\right)$ .

Next, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\phi\left(\frac{r}{s} + \frac{u}{t}\right) &= \phi\left(\frac{rt + us}{st}\right) = (rt + us)(st) + 3\mathbb{Z} \\ &= (rst^2 + uts^2) + 3\mathbb{Z} = (rs + ut) + 3\mathbb{Z} \\ &= (rs + 3\mathbb{Z}) + (ut + 3\mathbb{Z}) = \phi\left(\frac{r}{s}\right) + \phi\left(\frac{u}{t}\right), \\ \phi\left(\frac{r}{s} \cdot \frac{u}{t}\right) &= \phi\left(\frac{ru}{st}\right) \\ &= \psi(rust) = rust + 3\mathbb{Z} \\ &= (rs + 3\mathbb{Z})(ut + 3\mathbb{Z}) = \phi\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)\phi\left(\frac{u}{t}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have a ring homomorphism. It is surjective, as  $\frac{0}{2}$ ,  $\frac{2}{2}$ , and  $\frac{4}{2}$  map to  $0 + 3\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $4 + 3\mathbb{Z} = 1 + 3\mathbb{Z}$ , and  $8 + 3\mathbb{Z} = 2 + 3\mathbb{Z}$ , respectively.

Finally, suppose that  $\frac{r}{s}$  maps to  $0 + 3\mathbb{Z}$ . That means that  $rs + 3\mathbb{Z} = 0 + 3\mathbb{Z}$ , so  $3|rs$ . Since  $s \in \{2, 4\}$ , then  $s$  is relatively prime to 3, so  $3|r$ . Thus, either  $r + 6\mathbb{Z} = 0 + 6\mathbb{Z}$ , or else  $r + 6\mathbb{Z} = 3 + 6\mathbb{Z}$ . But in the latter case, we have that  $\frac{3}{s} = \frac{2(3)}{2s} = \frac{6}{2s} = \frac{0}{2s}$ , so in either case we get  $\frac{r}{s} = 0_{S^{-1}R}$ . Thus,  $\phi$  is one-to-one, and hence an isomorphism.

ALTERNATIVE SOLUTION. Under the homomorphism  $\phi(a + 6\mathbb{Z}) = a + 3\mathbb{Z}$  from  $\mathbb{Z}_6$  to  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ ,  $\phi(2 + 6\mathbb{Z}) = 2 + 3\mathbb{Z}$  is a unit in  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ , and  $\phi(4 + 6\mathbb{Z}) = 4 + 3\mathbb{Z} = 1 + 3\mathbb{Z}$  is a unit in  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ . By the universal property of the ring of fractions, there is a homomorphism  $\varphi: S^{-1}R \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_3$  induced by  $\phi$ . This map will be given by  $\varphi\left(\frac{r}{s}\right) = \phi(r)\phi(s)^{-1}$ . Since the inverse of  $2 + 3\mathbb{Z}$  is  $2 + 3\mathbb{Z}$  and the inverse of  $4 + 3\mathbb{Z}$  is  $4 + 3\mathbb{Z}$ , in fact our homomorphism will be given by  $\varphi\left(\frac{r}{s}\right) = \phi(r)\phi(s) = \phi(rs) = rs + 3\mathbb{Z}$ .

At this point, we can proceed as above; the universal property guarantees that this is indeed a ring homomorphism that is well-defined, so we can save ourselves the work of verifying these properties.  $\square$

2. Let  $S = \{\pm 1001^k \mid k \text{ a positive integer}\}$ . Let  $\varphi: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow S^{-1}\mathbb{Z}$  be the canonical map,  $\varphi(a) = \frac{1001a}{1001}$ . Describe the prime factorization of all  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\varphi(a)$  is a unit in  $S^{-1}\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Proof.** We claim that an integer  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  is mapped to a unit in  $S^{-1}\mathbb{Z}$  if and only if  $a$  is of the form  $a = \pm 7^r 11^s 13^t$ , for nonnegative integers  $r, s$ , and  $t$ . (This is related to the fact that  $1001 = 7 \times 11 \times 13$ ).

Indeed, first let us note that such an integer is indeed a unit in  $S^{-1}\mathbb{Z}$ : let  $a = \pm 7^r 11^s 13^t$ , and set  $u = r + s + t$ . If let  $b = \pm 7^{u-r} 11^{u-s} 13^{u-t}$ , then  $ab = 1001^u$ , so

$$\varphi(a) \left( \frac{b}{1001^u} \right) = \left( \frac{1001a}{1001} \right) \left( \frac{b}{1001^u} \right) = \frac{1001(ab)}{1001^{u+1}} = \frac{1001(1001)^u}{1001^{u+1}} = \frac{1001}{1001} = 1_{S^{-1}\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Thus,  $\varphi(a)$  is a unit in  $S^{-1}\mathbb{Z}$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $\varphi(a)$  is a unit, and let  $\frac{x}{v1001^k}$  be the multiplicative inverse of  $x$ , with  $k$  a positive integer,  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $v = \pm 1$ ; by changing the sign of  $x$  if necessary, we may assume that  $v = 1$ . Then

$$\varphi(a) \left( \frac{x}{1001^k} \right) = \frac{1001ax}{1001^{k+1}} = 1_{S^{-1}\mathbb{Z}} = \frac{1001}{1001}.$$

That means that  $1001^2(ax) = 1001^{k+2}$ , hence  $ax = 1001^k$ . Thus,  $a$  divides  $1001^k = 7^k 11^k 13^k$ , and therefore  $a = \pm 7^r 11^s 13^t$  for some nonnegative integers  $r, s$ , and  $t$  less than or equal to  $k$ . This proves the claim.  $\square$

3. Let  $P$  be a nonzero prime ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , and let  $\mathbb{Z}_P$  be the localization of  $\mathbb{Z}$  at  $P$ ; that is,  $\mathbb{Z}_P = (\mathbb{Z} - P)^{-1}\mathbb{Z}$ . Show that we can identify  $\mathbb{Z}_P$  with the subring of  $\mathbb{Q}$  consisting of the rationals that can be written as  $\frac{a}{b}$  with  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $b \notin P$ .

**Proof.** Take an element  $\frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{Z}_P$ ; then  $b \notin P$  by construction of  $\mathbb{Z}_P$ , showing that all such elements lie in  $\mathbb{Z}_P$ . Conversely, let  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ , and assume that  $q \in \mathbb{Z}_P$ . Then we can write  $q = \frac{a}{b}$  with  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $b \neq 0$ ,  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ ; and we have  $q = \frac{r}{s}$  with  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $s \notin P$  because  $q \in \mathbb{Z}_P$ . Thus,  $\frac{a}{b} = \frac{r}{s}$ , so  $sa = rb$ . Since  $b|as$  and  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ , then  $b|s$ , and hence  $b \notin P$  (since  $s \notin P$ ), proving that when we write  $q$  in lowest terms,  $q = \frac{a}{b}$ , then  $b \notin P$ .  $\square$

4. Show that if we view  $\mathbb{Z}_P$  as a subring of  $\mathbb{Q}$  as in Problem 3, then

$$\bigcap_P \mathbb{Z}_P = \mathbb{Z},$$

where the intersection runs over all nonzero prime ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Proof.** Since  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a domain and  $\mathbb{Z} - P$  does not contain 0,  $\mathbb{Z}$  is always identified with a subring of  $\mathbb{Z}_P$ . That means that  $\mathbb{Z}$  is contained in the intersection.

Conversely, suppose that  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$  lies in the intersection. Write  $q$  in lowest terms,  $q = \frac{a}{b}$  with  $a$  and  $b$  integers,  $b > 0$ , and  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ . If we can also write  $q$  as  $q = \frac{r}{s}$ , then  $\frac{r}{s} = \frac{a}{b}$ , so  $br = as$ . That is,  $b|as$ , and since  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ , then  $b|s$ . Thus, every expression of  $q$  as a quotient of integers has denominator that is a multiple of  $b$ . Thus,  $q \in \mathbb{Z}_P$  if and only if  $b \notin P$ ; if  $P = (0)$ , this does not put any restrictions on  $b$ ; if  $P = (p)$  with  $p > 0$  a prime, then  $b$  is not divisible by  $p$ . Thus, if  $q$  lies in the intersection, then when we express it as a quotient  $\frac{a}{b}$  in lowest terms with  $b > 0$ , we have that  $b$  is not divisible by any primes. Thus,  $b = 1$ , so  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ . This proves the intersection is equal to  $\mathbb{Z}$ .  $\square$

5. *Fractions of quotients.* Let  $R$  be a commutative ring,  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$ , and let  $\pi: R \rightarrow R/I$  be the canonical projection onto the quotient.

- (i) Show that if  $S$  is a multiplicative subset of  $R$ , then  $\pi S = \{\pi(s) \mid s \in S\}$  is a multiplicative subset of  $R/I$ .

**Proof.** If  $s, t \in S$ , then  $\pi(s)\pi(t) = \pi(st) \in \pi(S)$  (since  $S$  is multiplicative).  $\square$

- (ii) Show that  $\theta: S^{-1}R \rightarrow (\pi S)^{-1}(R/I)$  given by  $\theta\left(\frac{r}{s}\right) = \frac{\pi(r)}{\pi(s)}$  is a well-defined surjective ring homomorphism.

**Proof.** Suppose that  $\frac{r}{s} = \frac{a}{t}$ . Then there exists  $u \in S$  such that  $u(rt - as) = 0$ . Applying  $\pi$  we obtain  $\pi(u)(\pi(r)\pi(t) - \pi(a)\pi(s)) = 0$ , and since  $\pi(u), \pi(s), \pi(t) \in \pi(S)$ , then  $\frac{\pi(r)}{\pi(s)} = \frac{\pi(a)}{\pi(t)}$  in  $(\pi S)^{-1}(R/I)$ . So  $\theta$  is well-defined.

We then have

$$\begin{aligned}\theta\left(\frac{r}{s}\right) + \theta\left(\frac{a}{t}\right) &= \frac{\pi(r)}{\pi(s)} + \frac{\pi(a)}{\pi(t)} = \frac{\pi(r)\pi(t) + \pi(a)\pi(s)}{\pi(s)\pi(t)} = \frac{\pi(rt + as)}{\pi(st)} \\ &= \theta\left(\frac{rt + as}{st}\right) = \theta\left(\frac{r}{s} + \frac{a}{t}\right). \\ \theta\left(\frac{r}{s}\right) \cdot \theta\left(\frac{a}{t}\right) &= \frac{\pi(r)}{\pi(s)} \cdot \frac{\pi(a)}{\pi(t)} = \frac{\pi(r)\pi(a)}{\pi(s)\pi(t)} \\ &= \frac{\pi(ra)}{\pi(st)} = \theta\left(\frac{ra}{st}\right) = \theta\left(\frac{r}{s} \cdot \frac{a}{t}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\theta$  is a well-defined homomorphism. Finally, if  $\frac{a+I}{\pi(s)} \in (\pi S)^{-1}(R/I)$ , then  $\theta\left(\frac{a}{s}\right) = \frac{\pi(a)}{\pi(s)} = \frac{a+I}{\pi(s)}$ , so  $\theta$  is surjective.

- (iii) Recall that  $S^{-1}I \triangleleft S^{-1}R$ . Prove that  $(S^{-1}R)/S^{-1}I \cong (\pi S)^{-1}(R/I)$ .

**Proof.** We have a map from  $S^{-1}R$  to  $(\pi S)^{-1}(R/I)$ , given by  $\theta$ . So this suggests verifying that the kernel of this map is exactly  $S^{-1}I = \left\{\frac{a}{s} \mid a \in I\right\}$ .

If  $\frac{a}{s} \in S^{-1}I$ , with  $a \in I$ , then  $\theta\left(\frac{a}{s}\right) = \frac{\pi(a)}{\pi(s)} = \frac{0}{\pi(s)} = 0$ , so  $S^{-1}I$  is certainly contained in the kernel.

Now assume that  $\frac{r}{s} \in \ker(\theta)$ . Then  $\frac{\pi(r)}{\pi(s)} = \frac{0}{\pi(s)}$ . Therefore, there exists  $\pi(t) \in \pi S$  such that  $\pi(t)(\pi(r)\pi(s)) = 0 + I$ . That means that  $rst \in I$ . But then we have that

$$\frac{r}{s} = \frac{rst}{sst} \in S^{-1}I,$$

which shows that  $\ker(\theta) \subseteq S^{-1}I$ .

This proves that  $\ker(\theta) = S^{-1}I$ , and the First Isomorphism Theorem yields  $S^{-1}R/S^{-1}I \cong (\pi S)^{-1}(R/I)$ .  $\square$

6. *Fractions of fractions.* Let  $R$  be a commutative ring, and  $S$  a multiplicative subset of  $R$ . Let  $T$  be a multiplicative subset of  $S^{-1}R$ , and let

$$S_* = \left\{r \in R \mid \frac{r}{s} \in T \text{ for some } s \in S\right\}.$$

- (i) Show that  $S_*$  is a multiplicative subset of  $R$ .

**Proof.** First, since  $T$  is a multiplicative subset of  $S^{-1}R$ , there is some element  $\frac{r}{s} \in T$ , and therefore there is some  $r \in S_*$ ; thus,  $S_*$  is nonempty.

Let  $a, b \in S_*$ . We need to show that  $ab \in S_*$ . Since  $a \in S_*$ , there exists  $s \in S$  such that  $\frac{a}{s} \in T$ ; likewise, there exists  $t \in S$  with  $\frac{b}{t} \in T$ . Since  $T$  is a multiplicative subset,  $\frac{a}{s} \cdot \frac{b}{t} = \frac{ab}{st} \in T$ . Since  $st \in S$ , it follows that  $ab \in S_*$ , as required. Thus,  $S_*$  is indeed a multiplicative subset.  $\square$

- (ii) Prove that if  $t \in S_*$  and  $s \in S$ , then  $st \in S_*$ .

**Proof.** Since  $t \in S_*$ , there exists  $u \in S$  such that  $\frac{t}{u} \in T$ . Since  $s \in S$ , we know that  $\frac{st}{su} = \frac{t}{u} \in T$ , and therefore there is an element  $v \in S$  (namely  $v = su$ ) such that  $\frac{st}{v} \in T$ ; by definition of  $S_*$ , this means that  $st \in S_*$ , as claimed.  $\square$

(iii) Define  $f: T^{-1}(S^{-1}R) \rightarrow S_*^{-1}R$  by  $f\left(\frac{a/t}{b/u}\right) = \frac{au}{bt}$ . Show that this is a well-defined ring homomorphism.

**Proof.** Apologies for the coming change of notation; the choice above is less than optimal, because we would be inclined to think that the element  $t$  lies in  $T$ , when in fact it lies in  $S$ . So I will switch to a denominator of the form  $\frac{t}{u}$  as an element of  $T$ .

What is the intuition behind the isomorphism of  $T^{-1}(S^{-1}R)$  and  $S_*^{-1}R$  that we will establish in part (iv)? The first is a fraction ring of a fraction ring, so its elements will be “fractions of fractions.” If we have a “fraction of fractions”, then this ought to be expressible as a regular fraction (i.e., an element of  $S_*^{-1}R$ ); so what we hope is that the usual identity

$$\frac{\frac{a}{s}}{\frac{t}{u}} = \frac{au}{st}$$

will hold, where  $a \in R$ ,  $s \in S$ , and  $\frac{t}{u} \in T$  (hence  $t \in S_*$ ). Note that this makes sense, because  $st \in S_*$  by part (ii).

Let  $f: T^{-1}(S^{-1}R) \rightarrow S_*^{-1}R$  be given by

$$f\left(\frac{\frac{a}{s}}{\frac{t}{u}}\right) = \frac{au}{st},$$

where  $a \in R$ ,  $s, u \in S$ , and  $\frac{t}{u} \in T$ . By part (ii), this at least makes some sense as  $st \in S_*$ , so  $\frac{au}{st}$  is indeed an element of  $S_*^{-1}R$ . We do need to show that this is well-defined.

To show this is well defined we are going to have to unwind a couple of definitions. Suppose that

$$\frac{\frac{a}{s}}{\frac{t}{u}} = \frac{\frac{b}{r}}{\frac{v}{w}} \text{ in } T^{-1}(S^{-1}R);$$

we want to show that  $\frac{au}{st} = \frac{bw}{rv}$  in  $S_*^{-1}R$ .

To say that

$$\frac{\frac{a}{s}}{\frac{t}{u}} = \frac{\frac{b}{r}}{\frac{v}{w}} \text{ in } T^{-1}(S^{-1}R)$$

means that there exists  $\frac{q}{z} \in T$  such that  $\frac{q}{z}\left(\frac{av}{sw} - \frac{bt}{ru}\right) = 0_{S^{-1}R}$ . Doing the operations, we obtain that  $\frac{qavru - qbtsw}{zswbt} = 0_{S^{-1}R}$ . Thus, there exists  $s' \in S$  such that  $s'(qavru - qbtsw) = 0$ , or  $s'q(avru - btsw) = 0$ . Now, note that since  $\frac{q}{z} \in T$ , we have  $q \in S_*$ , and therefore  $s'q \in S_*$ . Thus,  $s'q(avru - btsw) = 0$  is exactly the condition we need for  $\frac{au}{st} = \frac{bw}{rv}$  to hold in  $S_*^{-1}R$ , so the the map is indeed well defined.

To show  $f$  is a ring homomorphism, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} f\left(\frac{\frac{a}{s}}{\frac{t}{u}} + \frac{\frac{b}{r}}{\frac{v}{w}}\right) &= f\left(\frac{\frac{av}{sw} + \frac{bt}{ru}}{\frac{tv}{uw}}\right) = f\left(\frac{\frac{avru + btsw}{swru}}{\frac{tv}{uw}}\right) = \frac{(avru + btsw)(uw)}{(swru)(tv)}. \\ f\left(\frac{\frac{a}{s}}{\frac{t}{u}}\right) + f\left(\frac{\frac{b}{r}}{\frac{v}{w}}\right) &= \frac{au}{st} + \frac{bw}{rv} = \frac{aurv + bwst}{strv}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the two answers are equal, since the crossproducts are equal:

$$(avru + btsw)(uw)(strv) = (swru)(tv)(aurv + bwst).$$

Thus,  $f$  is additive. To show  $f$  is multiplicative, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} f\left(\frac{\frac{a}{s}}{\frac{t}{u}} \cdot \frac{\frac{b}{r}}{\frac{v}{w}}\right) &= f\left(\frac{\frac{ab}{sr}}{\frac{tv}{uw}}\right) = \frac{abuw}{srtv}. \\ f\left(\frac{\frac{a}{s}}{\frac{t}{u}}\right) \cdot f\left(\frac{\frac{b}{r}}{\frac{v}{w}}\right) &= \frac{au}{st} \cdot \frac{bw}{rv} = \frac{aubw}{strv}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $f$  is also multiplicative, and so is a ring homomorphism.  $\square$

(iv) Prove that  $T^{-1}(S^{-1}R) \cong S_*^{-1}R$ .

**Proof.** We show that the map  $f$  from part (iii) is in fact an isomorphism. To show that  $f$  is one-to-one, suppose that

$$f\left(\frac{\frac{a}{s}}{\frac{t}{u}}\right) = \frac{au}{st} = \frac{0}{t}$$

(we can use  $\frac{0}{t}$ , since  $t \in S_*$ ), which means that there exists  $v \in S_*$  such that  $vau = 0$ . We want to show that  $\frac{\frac{a}{s}}{\frac{t}{u}}$  is the zero element of  $T^{-1}(S^{-1}R)$ . Indeed, since  $vt \in S_*$ , there exists  $z \in S$  such that  $\frac{vt}{z} \in T$ . Then  $\frac{vt}{z} \left(\frac{a}{s}\right) = \frac{vat}{zs} = 0_{S^{-1}R}$ , because  $u \in S$  satisfies  $uvat = 0$ . Thus, there is an element of  $T$  which, multiplied by  $\frac{a}{s}$ , is equal to zero, so the element  $\frac{a/s}{t/u}$  is the zero element of  $T^{-1}(S^{-1}R)$ , as claimed. Thus  $f$  is indeed one-to-one

Finally, to show  $f$  is onto, let  $\frac{a}{t} \in S_*^{-1}R$ . That means that there exists  $s \in S$  such that  $\frac{t}{s} \in T$ . Then we can look at

$$f\left(\frac{\frac{a}{s}}{\frac{t}{s}}\right) = \frac{as}{ts} = \frac{a}{t}.$$

Thus,  $f$  is a ring isomorphism, as desired.  $\square$

NOTE: This means that one can realize a ring of quotients of a ring of quotients of  $R$  as a ring of quotients of  $R$ ; this is analogous to the fact that a quotient of a quotient of  $R$  can be realized as a quotient of  $R$  (the Third Isomorphism Theorem).

**Remark:** In fact, there is a “fancy proof” that  $S_*^{-1}R \cong T^{-1}(S^{-1}R)$ , using the universal property of the ring of fractions.

We have the maps  $\varphi_S: R \rightarrow S^{-1}R$  and  $\varphi_T: S^{-1}R \rightarrow T^{-1}(S^{-1}R)$ . Composing them, we get a map  $f: R \rightarrow T^{-1}(S^{-1}R)$ . It is now straightforward to check that if  $t \in S_*$ , then  $f(t)$  is a unit in  $T^{-1}(S^{-1}R)$ : there exists  $s \in S$  such that  $\frac{t}{s} \in T$ , and so  $\varphi_S(t) = \frac{ts}{s} = \frac{t}{s} \frac{ss}{s}$  is an element of  $T$  times a unit of  $S^{-1}R$ . Since  $\varphi_T$  maps units to units, and elements of  $T$  to units,  $f(t) = \varphi_T(\varphi_S(t))$  is a unit in  $T^{-1}(S^{-1}R)$ . By the universal property of the ring of fractions, there is a unique homomorphism  $\psi: S_*^{-1}R \rightarrow T^{-1}(S^{-1}R)$  such that  $\psi(r) = f(r)$  for all  $r \in R$ . Now let  $t \in S_*$ , and define the map  $g: S^{-1}R \rightarrow S_*^{-1}R$  by mapping  $\frac{a}{s}$  to  $\frac{at}{st}$ ; this makes sense, since  $st \in S_*$ . It is a ring homomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} g\left(\frac{a}{s} + \frac{b}{s'}\right) &= g\left(\frac{as' + bs}{ss'}\right) = \frac{(as' + bs)t}{ss't}, \\ g\left(\frac{a}{s}\right) + g\left(\frac{b}{s'}\right) &= \frac{at}{st} + \frac{bt}{s't} = \frac{as'tt + bstt}{ss'tt} = \frac{as't + bst}{ss't}, \\ g\left(\frac{a}{s} \cdot \frac{b}{s'}\right) &= \frac{abt}{ss't} = \frac{abtt}{ss'tt} = \frac{at}{st} \cdot \frac{bt}{s't} = g\left(\frac{a}{s}\right) g\left(\frac{b}{s'}\right). \end{aligned}$$

And if  $\frac{u}{s} \in T$ , then  $g\left(\frac{u}{s}\right) = \frac{ust}{st}$  is a unit in  $S_*^{-1}R$ , because  $u, t \in S_*$  and  $s \in S$ , so  $ust \in S_*$ . Thus,  $g$  is a ring homomorphism from  $S^{-1}R$  to  $S_*^{-1}R$  that sends every element of  $T$  into a unit. This induces a homomorphism  $\phi: T^{-1}(S^{-1}R) \rightarrow S_*^{-1}R$  such that  $\phi\left(\frac{r}{s}\right) = \frac{rt}{st}$  for all  $\frac{r}{s} \in S^{-1}R$ .

It is now an easy computation to show that  $\psi$  and  $\phi$  are inverses of each other, so they are isomorphisms.  $\square$