

**Math 566 - Homework 7**

SOLUTIONS

*Prof Arturo Magidin*

1. Let  $R$  be a ring with unity and let  $I \triangleleft R$  be an ideal of  $R$ . Prove that  $I[x]$  is an ideal of  $R[x]$  and  $R[x]/I[x] \cong (R/I)[x]$ .

**Proof.** The canonical projection  $\pi: R \rightarrow R/I$ , composed with the canonical inclusion  $(R/I) \hookrightarrow (R/I)[x]$ , defines a ring homomorphism  $R \rightarrow (R/I)[x]$ , sending  $a \in R$  to the constant polynomial  $a + I$  in  $(R/I)[x]$ . Then mapping  $x \in R[x]$  to  $x \in (R/I)[x]$  induces a ring homomorphism  $f: R[x] \rightarrow (R/I)[x]$ , by

$$f(a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_nx^n) = (a_0 + I) + (a_1 + I)x + \cdots + (a_n + I)x^n,$$

which we are guaranteed is a ring homomorphism by the universal property. Invoking the universal property saves us a lot of verifications.

We claim that  $\ker(f) = I[x]$ . Indeed, if  $a_i \in I$  for  $i = 0, \dots, n$ , then

$$f(a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_nx^n) = (a_0 + I) + \cdots + (a_n + I)x^n = 0 + 0x + \cdots + 0x^n = 0,$$

so  $I[x] \subseteq \ker(f)$ . Conversely, if  $a_0 + \cdots + a_nx^n \in \ker(f)$ , then  $a_i + I = 0 + I$  for each  $i$ , so  $a_i \in I$  for each  $i$ ; thus,  $\ker(f) \subseteq I[x]$ .

Thus,  $I[x] \triangleleft R[x]$ . Finally, we show that  $f$  is surjective: given  $b_0, \dots, b_n \in R/I$ , let  $a_i \in R$  be such that  $a_i + I = b_i$ . Then

$$f(a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_nx^n) = (a_0 + I) + \cdots + (a_n + I)x^n = b_0 + \cdots + b_nx^n.$$

Thus, by the First Homomorphism Theorem, we have that  $R[x]/I[x] \cong (R/I)[x]$ , as desired.  $\square$

2. Let  $R$  be the ring of  $2 \times 2$  matrices with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}$

- (i) Show that for all  $A \in R$ ,  $(x + A)(x - A) = x^2 - A^2$  holds in  $R[x]$ .

**Proof.** This is just the definition of product in the polynomial ring. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (x + A)(x - A) &= xx + x(-A) + Ax + A(-A) = x^2 - Ax + Ax - A^2 \\ &= x^2 + (-A + A)x - A^2 = x^2 + 0x - A^2 \\ &= x^2 - A^2, \end{aligned}$$

because we know that in the polynomial ring  $R[x]$  we have  $Ax = xA$  for all  $A \in R$ , so  $x(-A) = -Ax$ .  $\square$

- (ii) Show that there are matrices  $A$  and  $C$  in  $R$  such that

$$(C + A)(C - A) \neq C^2 - A^2.$$

**Proof.** There are, of course, many possible answers. Here's one. Take

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$A^2 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad C^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus,

$$C^2 - A^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}(C + A)(C - A) &= \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\neq C^2 - A^2.\end{aligned}$$

This means that the “evaluation at  $C$ ” map is *not* a ring homomorphism from  $R[x]$  to  $R$  in this case (because  $R$  is not commutative, and  $C$  is not in the center of  $R$ ).  $\square$

3. Let  $R$  be a commutative ring. Given  $p \in R[x]$ ,

$$p = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_nx^n,$$

we have a function  $\mathbf{p}: R \rightarrow R$  given by

$$\mathbf{p}(r) = a_0 + a_1r + \cdots + a_nr^n.$$

The assignment  $p \mapsto \mathbf{p}$  defines a ring homomorphism  $\varphi: R[x] \rightarrow R^R$ , the ring of all functions from  $R$  to itself with pointwise addition and product. (You may take this for granted).

Show that if  $R$  is finite and nonzero, then  $\varphi$  is not one-to-one.

**Proof.** Because  $R$  is nonzero, the ring  $R[x]$  is infinite: pick  $a \in R$ ,  $a \neq 0$ ; then  $R[x]$  contains  $a$ ,  $ax$ ,  $ax^2$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $ax^n$ ,  $\dots$

On the other hand, if  $R$  is finite, then  $|R^R| = |R|^{|R|}$  is finite. So the function  $\varphi$  goes from an infinite set to a finite set, and hence is not one-to-one.  $\square$

4. Let  $D$  be an integral domain. Show that the morphism  $\varphi: D[x] \rightarrow D^D$  from the previous problem is one-to-one if and only if  $D$  is infinite.

**Proof.** We saw above that if  $D$  is finite then  $\varphi$  is not one-to-one.

Now assume that  $D$  is infinite. If  $\varphi(f) = \varphi(g)$ , then  $\varphi(f - g)$  is the zero function, and therefore  $(f - g)(r) = 0$  for all  $r \in D$ .

Because  $D$  is an integral domain, a polynomial of degree  $n$  has at most  $n$  roots. Since  $(f - g)(r) = 0$  for infinitely many  $r$ , it follows that  $f - g$  must be the zero polynomial, so  $f = g$ , as desired.  $\square$

5. Show that if  $F$  is a field, then  $(x)$  is a maximal ideal of  $F[x]$ , but it is not the only maximal ideal of  $F$ .

**Proof.** The evaluation map  $F[x] \rightarrow F$  given by  $p(x) \mapsto p(0)$  is a ring homomorphism, which is surjective, and by the Factor Theorem its kernel is precisely the polynomials that are multiples of  $x$ , i.e.  $(x)$ . Thus,  $(x)$  is maximal, since  $F[x]/(x) \cong F$ , a field.

The evaluation map  $F[x] \rightarrow F$  given by  $p(x) \mapsto p(1)$  is also a ring homomorphism, which is surjective (constant polynomials suffice to ensure surjectivity) and its kernel is precisely the polynomials that are multiples of  $x - 1$ , i.e.,  $(x - 1)$ . Thus, the ideal  $(x - 1)$  is also maximal, since  $F[x]/(x - 1) \cong F$ , a field. Also,  $(x) \neq (x - 1)$ , so  $(x)$  is not the only maximal ideal of  $F$ .  $\square$

6. Let  $D$  be an integral domain, and let  $c \in D$  be an irreducible element. Prove that the ideal  $(x, c)$  of  $D[x]$  is not principal.

**Proof.** Note that  $(x, c) \neq (1)$ . This follows because  $D[x]/(x) \cong D$ , so  $D[x]/(x, c) \cong D/(c)$ . Since  $c$  is assumed to be irreducible, it follows that it is not a unit, so  $(c) \neq D$ . Hence  $D/(c)$  is not trivial, so  $(x, c) \neq (1)$ .

If  $(x, c) \subseteq (a)$ , then  $a \mid x$  and  $a \mid c$  in  $D[x]$ . Since  $D$  is an integral domain, if  $a \mid c$  then  $\deg(a) \leq \deg(d) = 0$ , so  $a$  is constant. Thus,  $a \mid c$  in  $D$ , and hence  $a$  is a unit or  $a$  is an associate of  $c$ , given that  $c$  is an irreducible element.

If  $a$  is an associate of  $c$ , then we have that  $c \mid a$  and  $a \mid x$ , so  $c \mid x$ . But that means that there exists a polynomial of degree 1,  $r + sx$ , such that  $c(r + sx) = x$ . This means that  $cr = 0$  and  $cs = 1$ . But the latter says that  $c$  is a unit, which is impossible since  $c$  is irreducible. Thus,  $a$  is a unit.

But if  $a$  is a unit, then  $(x, c) \neq (1) = (a)$ . Thus, if  $(x, c) \subseteq (a)$ , then  $(x, c) \neq (a)$ . This proves that  $(x, c)$  is not principal, as required.  $\square$

7. Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with unity, and let  $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_nx^n \in R[x]$ . Define the formal derivative of  $f(x)$  by  $f'(x) = a_1 + 2a_2x + \cdots + na_nx^{n-1}$ . with  $f'(x) = 0$  if  $f = 0$ .

(i) Prove that  $(f + g)' = f' + g'$ ,  $(af)' = af'$ , and  $(fg)' = f'g + fg'$  for all  $f, g \in R[x]$  and all  $a \in R$ .

**Proof.** Let  $f = a_0 + \cdots + a_nx^n$  and  $g = b_0 + \cdots + b_nx^n$ . Then for the sum, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (f + g)' &= \left( \sum_{i=0}^n (a_i + b_i)x^i \right)' = \sum_{i=1}^n i(a_i + b_i)x^{i-1} = \sum_{i=1}^n ia_ix^{i-1} + \sum_{i=1}^n ib_ix^{i-1} \\ &= \left( \sum_{i=0}^n a_ix^i \right)' + \left( \sum_{i=0}^n b_ix^i \right)' = f' + g'. \end{aligned}$$

Next,

$$\begin{aligned} (af)' &= \left( a \sum_{i=0}^n a_ix^i \right)' = \left( \sum_{i=0}^n aa_ix^i \right)' \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n ia_aix^{i-1} = a \sum_{i=1}^n ia_ix^{i-1} = af'. \end{aligned}$$

For the product, we first prove that for  $r \geq 0$ ,  $(x^r g)' = rx^{r-1}g + x^r g'$ . Indeed, for  $r = 0$  this just says  $g' = g'$ . For  $r > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (x^r g)' &= \left( \sum_{j=0}^n b_j x^{j+r} \right)' = \sum_{j=0}^n (j+r)b_j x^{j+r-1}. \\ rx^{r-1}g + x^r g' &= \left( \sum_{j=0}^n rb_j x^{j+r-1} \right) + x^r \left( \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (j+1)b_{j+1} x^j \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^n rb_j x^{j+r-1} + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (j+1)b_{j+1} x^{r+j} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^n rb_j x^{j+1-1} + \sum_{j=1}^n jb_j x^{r+j-1} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^n (rb_j + jb_j) x^{r+j-1} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^n (j+r)b_j x^{r+j-1} = (x^r g)'. \end{aligned}$$

Now applying the formulas for addition and multiplication by a constant, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
(fg)' &= \left( \left( \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i \right) g \right)' = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i (x^i g)' \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^n a_i (i x^{i-1} g + x^i g') = \left( \sum_{i=0}^n i a_i x^{i-1} g \right) + \left( \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i g' \right) \\
&= \left( \sum_{i=0}^n i a_i x^{i-1} \right) g + \left( \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i \right) g' \\
&= f'g + fg',
\end{aligned}$$

as claimed.  $\square$

- (ii) Prove that if  $R$  is an integral domain,  $\deg(f) > 0$ , and  $\text{char}(R) = 0$ , then  $f' \neq 0$ .

**Proof.** Let  $f(x) = a_0 + \cdots + a_n x^n$  with  $n > 0$  and  $a_n \neq 0$ . Then

$$f'(x) = a_1 + 2a_2 x + \cdots + n a_n x^{n-1}.$$

Since  $n - 1 \geq 0$  and  $n a_n \neq 0$ , then  $f'(x) \neq 0$ .  $\square$

- (iii) Prove that if  $R$  is an integral domain,  $\deg(f) > 0$ , and  $\text{char}(R) = p$ , then  $f' = 0$  if and only if  $f$  is a polynomial in  $x^p$ . That is,

$$f = a_0 + a_p x^p + a_{2p} x^{2p} + \cdots + a_{mp} x^{mp}, \quad a_i \in R.$$

**Proof.** For  $f$  a polynomial in  $x^p$ , we have

$$(a_0 + a_p x^p + \cdots + a_{mp} x^{mp})' = p a_p x^{p-1} + \cdots + p m a_{mp} x^{mp-1} = 0,$$

since all coefficients are 0 (because  $pa = 0$  for all  $a \in R$ ).

Conversely, assume that  $f' = 0$ . Write  $f = a_0 + a_1 x + \cdots + a_n x^n$ . We show that if  $p \nmid i$ , then  $a_i = 0$ . Indeed, if  $p \nmid i$  then  $i > 0$ , and the coefficient of  $x^{i-1}$  in  $f'$  is  $i a_i$ . Since  $f' = 0$ , then  $i a_i = 0$ . This is equal to

$$\underbrace{(1_R + \cdots + 1_R)}_{i \text{ summands}} a_i = 0,$$

which can only happen if either  $1_R + \cdots + 1_R = 0$  (which only occurs if the number of summands is a multiple of  $p$ , which in this case it is not), or if  $a_i = 0$ . Thus, we must have  $a_i = 0$ , as desired.  $\square$